



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Review &amp; Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>2013_PRRI_6711</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>High density optical memories for safe archival data</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Seems to be interesting work. However, short hand writing does not help. Some of the number and equations are not clear( $10^6(12)$ etc.) Since this being theoretical work how easy is it to make these structures? Authors should clarify. What will be the costs involved? Is it feasible practically?	qs.answered
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Improve (a) introduction (b) results and discussion part (c) provide more references	Improved ,sections added.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	MINOR REVISIONS required.	