



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Review & Research International
Manuscript Number:	2013_PRRI_6711
Title of the Manuscript:	High density optical memories for safe archival data
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	None	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is very well written, clear and concise, but maybe just a suggestion: Possibly mention in the short introductory part the expanding role of archival data storage at present with some most recent reference - e.g. The Digital Universe 2012 report (www.emc.com/digital_universe).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper presented is a brilliant continuation of the author's previous ground-breaking pioneering work on nano-scale archival data storage using ion nanobeams. This time it is focusing on the much needing attention part of this method related to the step of optical nano-information reading which poses a challenge to the method. It is both timely and with very impressive conclusions.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tania Tsvetkova
Department, University & Country	Institute of Solid State Physics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 72 Tzarigradsko Chaussee, 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria