



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Physical Science International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_10312
Title of the Manuscript:	On the Problem of Reduction of the State's Vector
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment Referee report attached	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	See report	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I have rewrote sec. 2 and Discussion in order to avoid misunderstandings. So, m – is a mass of the atoms of the screen, not of the whole screen. 2. All I do is a decomposition of the wave function of a particle on a complete set of wave functions of the screen (Formulas 2 and 3 in revised article). The character size of the resulting wave packet is determined by precision of experiment. Localization of that packet could be arbitrary (see article, the end of sec. 3). It may be approved by recalculating with another localization which will lead to the same result. Just the same is valid for the case of experiment with particle's interference (Sec. 4). Thus, there is no evidence that the "...screen knows where the wall and the slots in the wall are"
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Done 2. Done 3. Done 4. m is the mass of the screen's atoms (not of the whole screen) I express my gratitude to the reviewer for paying my attention on some misunderstandings.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--