



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Physical Science International Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | 2014_PSIJ_10312 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | On the Problem of Reduction of the State's Vector |
| Type of the Article | <u>Original Research Article</u> |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | It is necessary to show the relation of the approach under discussion to other approaches to this problem, which can be found in modern references. It is well-known that the reduction of the state's vector is a general task of quantum mechanics, and thought experiment with screen and spot is used traditionally. Besides, it is desirable to extend the Section 5 of the paper for more detailed discussion of results. | 1.Done 2.Done |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | The References are to be extended. The statement of a problem must be improved. | 3. Done |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | Unfortunately, the paper looks like as a description of a particular case without generality. It seems to me that the text of the paper is far from to be clear. | 4.I've tried to do my best |