



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_10336
Title of the Manuscript:	Entropy and Temperature from Entangled Space and Time
Type of the Article	Research article.

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The theme of the paper is excellent. In the last para of Introduction, the author has quoted : it is noted that the hadron appears quite differently when it moves rapidly than when it moves slowly. For slow hadrons, Gell-Mann's quark model is applicable, while Feynman's parton model is applicable to hadrons with their speeds close to that of light. While observing the temperature dependence of the speed, we can explain the quark-to-parton transition as a phase transition. But the brief of above fact is not included in the abstract. In my opinion, it should be added in the abstract.</p> <p>To make it better the author is suggested to revise the paper carefully so that there is no issues of plagiarism regarding the figures and matters.</p> <p>Finally the paper is recommended for publication.</p>	<p>We agree with this referee, and we re-organized our abstract to reflect his/her suggestion. the present paper.</p> <p>As for the figures, most of them were freshly constructed for the present paper. Some of our png figures are based on the those published before. However, they are all from our own papers, except Fig.1a.</p> <p>For this figure, we constructed our own png file based on a similar figure in Bell's book. We added the words including "Bell's Picture of Lorentz Boost" to make our figure different from the one contained in Bell's book, and to give the credit to Bell. In this ways, we are avoiding plagiarism.</p> <p>For the results published earlier, we give a complete list of references</p>
Optional/General comments	plagiarism issue: The authors should check carefully.	