



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_12260
Title of the Manuscript:	Reliability of argon triple point cells materializing the ITS-90 temperature fixed point
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please, be careful about grammatical term in the text.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The topic of the paper is relevant. Introduction, Methodology, Result and Discussion and Bibliography /References are also, good and complete. The quality of tables is good and figures are acceptable but not very good.	The quality of figures is modified.
competing interest issues:	If any competing interest issues please clarify.	Nothing