



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_12318
Title of the Manuscript:	SUSTAINABLE UTILIZATION OF RICE HUSK ACTIVATED CHARCOAL THROUGH PHYTOREMEDIATION

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The work has not been effectively produced by the authors. However, I suggest major revision in the manuscript. I hope this would be fulfilled by the authors, therefore, I recommend this article for publishing in this journal after careful revision.</p> <p>Title of the manuscript is confusing authors have to certain whether the process is simple adsorption or phytoremediation as this term stands for the direct use of the plant for the removal process without any modification. So the title should be modified according to the work done.</p> <p>Authors also needs to include the stabilization of the activated carbon prepared from rice husk without that, the claims of the results cannot be authenticated.</p> <p>There should be separate table for the removal using rice husk activated carbon.</p>	<p>Ok.</p> <p>The work done was basically on purifying waste water with RAC prepared. That is, making use of plant material (rice husk) as alternative, nature-friendly and cheaper form of cleaning our environment. Therefore, the title stands.</p> <p>Please, educate the authors more on stabilization of RAC. Thanks.</p> <p>Having the table in one form makes comparison easier.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		