



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_12476
Title of the Manuscript:	Finite-time combination-combination synchronization of hyperchaotic systems and its application in secure communication
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please give some explanations about the excellence of your method in comparison to the other methods.	<p>Due to the high sensitiveness on initial values, many proposed synchronization of chaos are one master chaotic system with one slaver chaotic system, such as references [2, 3, and 10]. The advantages of the proposed method are as follow. Firstly the master systems consist of three higher order chaotic systems, which can generate much more complicated pseudo-random sequences, and has higher security in secure communication. Secondly, the combination-combination synchronization is controlled by the generalized linear controllers and nonlinear controllers, which is a general method and can be applied to other chaotic systems. Finally, the combination-combination synchronization can be achieved in finite time, which is very important in real-world applications.</p> <p>All in all, we appreciate very much both your helpful comments and suggestions.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Fig.1 is not enough clear specially between time [0-1]. The labels of fig.3 and fig.4 are not clear.	<p>Fig.1 has made much clearer by a shorted time [0-3].</p> <p>Fig.3 and Fig.4 are enlarged in the revised manuscript.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		