



## SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Review &amp; Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	2013_PRRI_7549
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of E.M. fields and energy transport in metallic nanoparticles with near field excitation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Subject matter is very urgent. Author conducted a comparative analysis of numerical methods. Using this approach, the authors get some interesting results: 1) For metallic particles these tests reveal that the internal field is the most significant source of numerical error in these calculations. 2) Integration via adaptive grids may be beneficial in improving the accuracy of the calculations. Note that the graphs in the article, testifying that the authors' conclusions..</p>	<p>We thank the referee for their support of this work.</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		