



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_PSIJ_7803
Title of the Manuscript:	Crystal and molecular structure of Di ((E)-2-(pyridine-2-ylmethylene)hydrazinecarboxamide)cobalt(II) dichloride triaqua
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1) It seems to me that structural formula (Scheme 1) is not correct. If it is correct then C6 atom is methylene carbon, 1 hydrogen atom is missing and structure should be rerefined. Or, C13-N6 bond is double bond and formula in Scheme 1 should be corrected.</p> <p>2) Conclusions do not contain any information about structure. In addition, first sentence was practically copied from Abstract, and the last one is difficult to understand. Thus, conclusions should be rewritten.</p>	<p>As per your suggestion scheme-1 is corrected.</p> <p>Conclusion is rewritten.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>1) Crystal data are given in Table 1. It is not necessary to give them in Abstract. Authors gave unnecessarily the same details in Results and Discussion, too. I recommend authors to make appropriate changes in Abstract and Results and Discussion section. Furthermore, Table 1 should be part of Experimental section and cell angles should be added in the table.</p> <p>2) Authors did not give any detail about intermolecular interactions in Results and Discussion section. A short comment on this interactions can make this paper much better.</p>	<p>The appropriate changes has been made in abstract and Result & discussion. Table 1 is added in experimental section.</p> <p>Cell angles added in table 1.</p> <p>Interactions were included.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There are some grammar and spelling errors, as well as typos which should be corrected.	