



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_PSIJ_8754
Title of the Manuscript:	Microracks Detection Techniques in Silicon Solar Cell
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The English is very bad. Needs a major revision for the grammatical errors.	Revision has been done.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The authors are recommended to cite the following articles since the third part of the paper is reviewing the detection techniques. Nothing was said about the impedance analysis. <i>Physica B: Condensed Matter, Volume 431, 15 December 2013, Pages 44-48</i>	We discussed about the non-destructive testing for microcracks, so this reference suggested by the reviewer is not relevant.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	the manuscript can be published if the authors correct the grammatical errors in the manuscript.	All the errors have been corrected now.