



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_9141
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>D-optimal Exact Design: Weighted Variance Approach and a Continuous Search Technique</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Dear professor</b></p> <p>I have some suggestion as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The manuscript should be rewritten as there are so many grammatical mistakes</li><li>2. The abstract should be rewritten with a focus on the obtained results.</li><li>3. The used reference is very old and I prefer the literature review be done in detail and with a focus on a new references. Also the references must be cited correctly and some sentences need references.</li><li>4. More details of the theory must be presented.</li><li>5. Discuss more about why the results are obtained</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Grammatical mistakes have been corrected.</li><li>2. Abstract was rewritten</li><li>3. Literature was also reviewed</li><li>4. Theory was presented</li><li>5. Illustrative result was used to explain the proposed approach</li></ol> <p>The comments were properly looked at.</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	.	
	6. Conclusion section must be rewritten.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	If any ethical issue, please clarify	