



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_9141
Title of the Manuscript:	D-optimal Exact Design: Weighted Variance Approach and a Continuous Search Technique
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. This manuscript is poorly organized. It MUST be reorganized and rewritten in the style of scientific journal article.</p> <p>2. What is the theoretical basis to find the global optimum of \hat{y} by the variance modulated technique (2)? Please give the proof of convergence for the algorithm since it is very important for the significance of this manuscript.</p>	<p>The manuscript is rewritten in the style of scientific journal article.</p> <p>The proof of convergence is seen in the illustrative example. The optimal was obtained in the first iteration.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are too many grammatical and syntactic errors to list all of them. I suggest that this manuscript MUST be checked by an expert in English writing before the resubmission.	Grammatical and syntactic errors have been corrected in the reviewed paper. Thanks Greatly for the comment.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		