



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Physical Science International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_9239
Title of the Manuscript:	Synchronization of sources of radiation with the help of tunnelling
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is a nicely written small piece of work. However, it mostly describes other workers work. It could be, after revision, resubmitted as a Short Communication or a Letter to the Editor.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Introduction: In general, it describes basic knowledge. It should be expanded including recent findings. Only 3 references are included and 1 is in Russian which is difficult to be found and read. Also, it is described shortly what subjects are presented in each section of the paper which is really unusual. 2. Section 2 describes basic well known knowledge 3. What is new in section 3? 4. In section 4, 2nd paragraph, your proving is not convincing.	1. I made introduction wider due to inclusion of the section 3. I eliminate in Introduction short description of other sections content. I have changed some Russian references to English 2. The content of the Section 2 plays role of example which is needed for following understanding 3. Section 3 was omitted 4. All new hypotheses are not convincing before their adoption by scientific community.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The whole paper includes 14 references out of which 8 are Russian which are not easy to be found and read. More references should be included.	Most of works on gravitation instantons which are presented in http://arxiv.org are devoted to the problems of classifications of instanton-like solutions of the Maxwell-Einstein equations on the Riemann manifolds and have not any connection with problem under consideration.
---	--	--