



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_12624
Title of the Manuscript:	Dry Sliding Wear Behaviour of Plasma Sprayed Fly Ash Added Red Mud Coatings

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Most of the technical comments/questions were answered, although some of them in a rather vague manner (e.g., instead of "we don't think so", I would expect a solid argument, in the case of disagreement with the reviewer's opinion). Some were ignored, but these are not critical.</p> <p>A number of the grammatical and style/formatting problems were corrected, but many still remain. It seems like I have put more effort in reviewing the manuscript and picking out the errors than the authors in correcting them.</p> <p>Few examples of sloppy formatting:</p> <p>140 Red Mud</p> <p>143 Red mud</p> <p>163 red mud (correct)</p> <p>124 <b>Figure.1</b></p> <p>136 Figure 3 (correct)</p> <p>137 Figure-3, Table.4</p> <p>Missing spaces and dots in the authors' names in References</p> <p>My primary recommendation would be to revise again, this time more carefully.</p> <p>However, in general, I believe the reviewer should not have an absolute power over the paper, so I'll leave it to the editor's decision, to what extent insist on proper paper shape.</p>	<p>Suitable changes has been incorporated.</p>