



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_12318
Title of the Manuscript:	SUSTAINABLE UTILIZATION OF RICE HUSK ACTIVATED CHARCOAL THROUGH PHYTOREMEDIATION

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Currently, we are at one point where I ask for some crucial information to add in the manuscript, and the authors give reasons not justifiable at all for not include such information. Previously, or even in the current manuscript, RAC characterization must have been reported. It is not enough just to publish information of water purification results with no deep knowledge about the adsorption material, experimental set up and some expressions modelling the adsorption. Remember that published results must be able to be replicated by other researchers. Results are some-empirical and one strong physical background is not provided.	We think that having sent the RAC characterization for publication and accepted for publication in a reputable journal, this amounts to duplication of the same result in different journals. The reviewer knows the implication of this. Also, the article was not cited because it's still awaiting publication. The reviewer should understand these facts. Thanks