## SCIENCEDOMAIN international



## **SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

PART 1:	OR ALMOUTING TO A MARKEN	
Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal	
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_9933	
Title of the Manuscript:	Numerical Simulation of Spin Glass State in Diluted Magnetic Materials Using Ising Spin Model in 2D with Distance Dependent interactions	

## PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
All the replies by the authors are unsatisfactory.	Yes, We mentioned these things in our previous paper so what is wrong with this? We
The authors claim Ref.[2] contains data for different sizes and \rho values, but this assertion is in contrast to what is written in Table 1 of Ref.[2] where \rho=3,4 and L=30,40 are present.	data for that paper. I think the reviewer's comment is baseless. By the way, spin glass state is a consequence of conflict in interaction between ferro antiferromagnetic interactions. Because of this conflict in interaction the system becor system. This is a basic concept in this regard.
The authors insist in claiming that "there is no long-range order in spin glasses", but this is definitely wrong. The spin glass phase has long range order (of the spin glass kind, obviously). This fact witnesses that authors ignore some fundamentals of this field of research.	Spin glasses differ from ferromagnetic materials by the fact that after the external magnetic from a ferromagnetic substance, the magnetization remains indefinitely at value. Paramagnetic materials differ from spin glasses by the fact that, after the external field is removed, the magnetization rapidly falls to zero, with no remanent magnetization
I did criticised the claim that the diluted model is new (it was already introduced in Ref.[11]). So authors' reply on this point is meaningless.	fact, therefore I recommend the reviewer to read related articles before commenting papers. Sorry to say, I think there is a problem in understanding of spin glass creviewer side.
The authors' assertion that there is no need to use the finite size scaling in the present case is unacceptable. Finite size scaling is required if one is willing to identify a critica point and critical properties. Even when working with overlaps.	We said, finite size scaling is very important in order to identify spin glass parame Binder parameter, magnetic susceptibility and correlation functions but here we used parameter in order to give analysis about the spin glass state. Again, the reviewer is unnecessarily in this regard.

e didn't use the

romagnetic and omes frustrated

hagnetic field is at the remanent ternal magnetic tion. This is the ng such kind of concepts from

meters such as d overlap order is commenting