



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Physical Review & Research International</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_PRRI_7549
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of E.M. fields and energy transport in metallic nanoparticles with near field excitation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Subject matter is very urgent.</p> <p>Author conducted a comparative analysis of numerical methods.</p> <p>Using this approach, the authors get some interesting results:</p> <p>1) For metallic particles these tests reveal that the internal field is the most significant source of numerical error in these calculations.</p> <p>2) Integration via adaptive grids may be beneficial in improving the accuracy of the calculations.</p> <p>Note that the graphs in the article, testifying that the authors' conclusions..</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer