



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>                                      |
| Manuscript Number:       | 2014_PSIJ_9141  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>D-optimal Exact Design: Weighted Variance Approach and a Continuous Search Technique</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>  |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <p><b>-The abstract structure should include; an introduction, scope and method, result and finding. In addition, the paper structure should include methodology, result and discussion.</b></p> <p><b>-The literature review in the introduction section should present by scope and finding for each and explain what other researchers were used and then show what this research present, (the sentence start in line 12 -line 14 should be the last paragraph of the introduction in addition to the sentence which start in line 19- line 22). Line 15, shouldn't start with (In the literature) better start directly with any sentence.</b></p> <p><b>There should be at least 7-9 reviews in order to compare the results with other researchers. Please also explain how the results relate to previous findings in the last paragraph. Also the author should review</b></p> <p><b>- In the methodology section, author should clearly show what used and what the new procedure he used.(there is no comparison with any other techniques). For example line 128, the author wrote (this technique is very effective) How?</b></p> <p><b>- In its current state, some of English mistakes have to be corrected. Please check the manuscript and refine the language carefully.</b></p> <p><b>-In the conclusion section, the main contribution of the paper is not clear and it must be clarified well in this section.</b></p> |   |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments   |  |  |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments |  |  |

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**