

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Review & Research International
Manuscript Number:	2013_PRRI_5778
Title of the Manuscript:	NaCl, KCl and SrCl2 Doping Effect on Linear and Nonlinear Optical Properties of KDP Crystal
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed
		with reviewer, correct the
		manuscript and highlight that
		part in the manuscript. It is
		mandatory that authors should
		write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION	1. The final manuscript should be further polished and show a native	
comments	language expression to us.	
	2. Please focus on the details of tense appeared in the paragraph, especially	
	in a single sentence.	
	3. Please explain the shift trend of peaks of all doped samples in FI-IR	
	spectroscopy, and make a connection with the change of bond lengths	
	discussed in XRD, which will lead to the distortion in crystal (If the bond	
	energy become stronger, the bond lengths will decrease).	
	4. For KCl doped samples, the increase in the band gap is not consistent with	
	the increase in ontical transparency of doned crystals, because the 2mole%	
	KCl doned crystal has the highest ontical transparency in which the hand	
	gan is not the highest. Thus, the author need to express the result more	
	rigorous	
	5 Diase list out the calculated lattice parameters of NaCl_KCl and SeCl doned KDD	
	yith 10/ 20/ comparially for those of K2NC K2KC K1SC which show the better SUC	
	with 1%, 2%, especially for those of K2NC, K2KC, K1SC, which show the better sho	
	enciency than others. Furthermore, can you explain the reason why the distortion	
	can cause the change in SHG efficiency of doped crystals and find the change regular	
	between them?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION		
comments		
Optional/General	This comment is received form reviewer as e-mail.	
comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer