



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_12260
Title of the Manuscript:	Reliability of argon triple point cells materializing the ITS-90 temperature fixed point
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This topic is very interesting to be use in analysis of phase of materials and substances to some industrial, space and aerospace, military and medical activities, but need check the next aspects: 1. Is not good use parenthesis in the abstract 2. Is necessary add more information in the introduction 3. Is necessary add information to conclusions	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
Ethical issues:	If there have any ethical issues please clarify.	
Competing interest issues:	If there have any competing interest issues please clarify.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Instituto Tecnológico de Mexicali, Mexicali, B.C., Mexico