



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_9141
Title of the Manuscript:	D-optimal Exact Design: Weighted Variance Approach and a Continuous Search Technique
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>I have some suggestion as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The manuscript should be rewritten as there are so many grammatical mistakes2. The abstract should be rewritten with a focus on the obtained results.3. The used reference is very old and I prefer the literature review be done in detail and with a focus on a new references. Also the references must be cited correctly and some sentences need references.4. More details of the theory must be presented.5. Discuss more about why the results are obtained6. Conclusion section must be rewritten.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If any ethical issue, please clarify	
---	--------------------------------------	--

Note: Anonymous Reviewer