



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_9748
Title of the Manuscript:	Quiet time foF2 variation at Ouagadougou station and comparison with TIEGCM and IRI-2012 predictions for years 1985 and 1990
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The work is poorly written. The abstract is not written correctly and should be re-written. The paper in its present form is not acceptable. The introduction should be rewritten. The English is awful. The discussion should be redone, results were merely presented. The conclusion as presented is a mere summary	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author is advised check with the English department for errors.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I am unable to find the reason for this work. It is a mere academic exercise without any real addition to knowledge	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer