



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_12576
Title of the Manuscript:	Electron energy levels for a finite elliptical quantum wire in a transverse magnetic field
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors must focus on main motivation of the work. The results presented are only primitive. For example the variation of the ground and first excited energies could have been shown as a function of magnetic field strength. Showing the effect of strain on energy level and wave functions from moderate to strong fields. The symbol ξ_0 in figures is not defined.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper should be re-written, as there are many typographical and grammatical errors. The reference list seriously needs to be checked. Physica B and Physica E should be written in complete. First ref. H. Sakaki, jpn 1980 should be Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. I understand that relevant references like: Phys. Lett. A 376, 590 (2013), Phys. Lett. A 375, 3910 (2011), Physica B 407, 2334 (2012), Journal of Luminescence 136, 240 (2013) et. Should be cited	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vinod Prasad
Department, University & Country	Department of Physics, Swami Shraddhanand College, University of Delhi, New Delhi, India