



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_PSIJ_8754
Title of the Manuscript:	Microracks Detection Techniques in Silicon Solar Cell
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This review article focuses on four different techniques, to find out microcracks in silicon solar cells.</p> <p>Following are my comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title should be corrected as "Microcracks Detection Techniques in Silicon Solar Cell". 2. Similar review paper with more number of techniques is already published ("Review of Microcrack Detection Techniques for Silicon Solar Cells" IEEE JOURNAL OF PHOTOVOLTAICS, 4 (1), 514-524 (2014)), what is the impact of present review? Authors can add some more techniques in detail. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Venkatram Nalla
Department, University & Country	Centre for Disruptive Photonic Technologies, NTU, Singapore