



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_9748
Title of the Manuscript:	Quiet time foF2 variation at Ouagadougou station and comparison with TIEGCM and IRI-2012 predictions for years 1985 and 1990
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. This manuscript only used 5 days in a month to present the seasonal variation. The data is too weak to explain the difference. 2. The result shows the IRI 2012 model to be better than TIEGCM model. However, the author should explain the reason why.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. The abstract should be arranged, since it is not a formal format. The bold type items should be the contents including in the abstract, but not list form.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer