### SCIENCEDOMAIN international



www.sciencedomain.org

#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

| Journal Name:            | Physical Science International Journal  |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number:       | 2014_PSIJ_9604  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Distributions of electron density and electron temperature in magnetized DC discharge |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# **PART 1:** Review Comments

|                              | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol> <li>Abstract should not contain too much abbreviations, such CM-A, C-A, etc. They should be put in a proper way in the first use of main text.</li> <li>English should be greatly improved due to a lot of errors detected. Until the grammar is correct, the paper should be completely rejected and not needed to be reviewed again.</li> <li>Authors seem like a new writer without any paper writing experience.</li> <li>The section numbers are confused by the reviewer. (3-4-5-, and further 3.1)</li> <li>The symbol in formula is also not unified and units, especially in Eq.(4). Are you a student? Or the article was translated by PC software.</li> <li>I recommend the authors draw a picture to clarify all components and devices in the first figure presented in this manuscript.</li> <li>It is curious about why section 3-2 is underlined? It is very strange!</li> <li>I want to say this is not a good article unless authors focus their issue and follow the normal scientific format.</li> </ol> |   |
| Minor REVISION comments      |  |   |

### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

SCIENCEDOMAN

www.sciencedomain.org

# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

| <b>Optional/General</b> comments |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
|                                  |  |
|                                  |  |
|                                  |  |

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer** 

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)