



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Physical Science International Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | 2014_PSIJ_9211 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | URBAN SPRAWL ANALYSIS AND TRANSPORTATION USING CELLULAR AUTOMATA AND MARKOV CHAIN |

PART 2:

| FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any) | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments |
|--|---|
| <p>I still submit that the abstract is not adequate. An abstract is supposed to give a clear picture of the research and not leaving one to guess work. Particularly with omissions like sources of data and analysis type, discussions on findings and a major omission on transportation and just indicating 'was discussed'. Is it only built up parameter that indicates sprawl?</p> <p>There is supposed to be an overlay as well as a change trend analysis between 1984 and 2006 which was omitted.(this in no way calls for repetition except if you don't understand whats expected of you) this is important because the actual change is between the base year and the last year.</p> <p>Based on line 271 when do we know rates are reduced or increased from figures in table 4? Explain the rates.(the explanation is not sufficient as your figures for LCR did not increase but decreased from 0.032 to 0.022. cross check and explain.</p> <p>The researcher said this research was done in 2011 but cites Agoke Onojegho and Alex 2013 as collaborators to his findings.</p> <p>Nobody asked you to cite references on areas removed, rather citation without proper referencing was mentioned.</p> <p>Line 94 is not clear and has nothing to do with summary, do cross check and capture.</p> <p>Do you mean the journal allows citation of all three authors names? When does it become et al?</p> <p>So why did you still clarify is the greatest? If what you wrote was sufficient?</p> <p>Fig 8 is not clear. We have built up areas for 2000 appearing twice as colour blue and green. Again what's others? There are some colours that are not in the legend.</p> <p>In fig 11 what does blue stand for?</p> <p>As for your referencing i was talking about books which i can see only one by Ndukwe and the rest reports, articles and journals so your claim is not true. Also you have 3 manuals 4,6 and 12. Again Zubair is not the author of those formulas but if you insist on having your way you can leave it as such.</p> <p>Finally an increase in built up area is not the only indicator for urban sprawl so it will be good to clearly highlight that particularly in your all sufficient abstract. Your transport image / analysis too needs more clarity particularly the image.</p> | |

Note: Anonymous Reviewer