SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_12576
Title of the Manuscript:	Electron energy levels for a finite elliptical quantum wire in a transverse magnetic field

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I think that in principle, the paper could be published, but English is still unsatisfactory. For instance, in the Abstract one can read: "The ground state energy is compared with the previous work". Perhaps the Author/Authors would like to say: "Calculated ground state energy is compared with that one obtained in previous work", or something like this.	Authors response to final evaluator's comments
Somewhere else one reads: "The value of ξ_0 belong to the interval $(0, \infty)$. The	
ellipse near to a line segment which length is 2a when ξ_0 close to zero and near to	
an approximate circle which radius near to infinity when ξ_0 close to infinity".	
First of all, it should be " ξ_0 belongs". The next sentence is also unsatisfactory, it would be better to say: "when ξ_0 tends to zero, the ellipse tends to be a line segment of the 2a-length, when ξ_0 tends to infinity, the ellipse", or something like this and so on.	
Page 4, the lines 115-116: " <i>m</i> and <i>n</i> are round numbers." Frankly, I don't know what it means "round numbers". Are they <i>integer numbers</i> ? Usually, the quantum numbers are integers.	
I believe that only after the necessary language correction will be made, the paper could be published. Once more, my advice is to consult the issues of English language to the native speaker, or at least to a person, who is better command it.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)