



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Physical Science International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_PSIJ_17212
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance Evaluation of FSO System with MIMO Technique in Different Operating Environments
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. The readability of this paper requires improving. I have difficulty following the text. The whole text should have been paragraphed properly. In the current form, the entire text appears as a big lump, impairing its readability substantially.</p> <p>2. The abstract unclear please rewrite abstract</p> <p>3. The literature review on the recent progress for this research topic is not sufficient.</p> <p>4. the results need more explanations</p> <p>5. The conclusion unclear please rewrite conclusion</p> <p>6. Research needs to be very modern referenced from 2012- 2014</p>	<p>1-The manuscript is reformatted taking into account your comments.</p> <p>2-The abstract is rewritten in a more explicit form in the new version of the manuscript.</p> <p>3-It is not necessary to scan all the previous works in the domain of the point of research.</p> <p>4-The results are presented in an easy way to facilitate the contribution of the research in the domain of wireless optical communications.</p> <p>5-The conclusion has a new structural form in the revised version.</p> <p>6-The section of references is supported by some of the more recent references.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		