



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_PSIJ_17270
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ACORN ADJUSTMENTS OF AUSTRALIAN TEMPERATURES ARE IN THE WRONG DIRECTION
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript Title: THE ACORN ADJUSTMENTS OF AUSTRALIAN TEMPERATURES ARE IN THE WRONG DIRECTIO; The manuscript was submitted as the short communication, The manuscript descript on the warming of Australia evidenced by the Australian Climate Observations Reference Network (ACORN) data. The paper was interested for the global warming. However, the introduction was needed to put more information. And the discussion section need more discussion	Ok
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		