



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_15551
Title of the Manuscript:	Microcontroller based intruder lighting system (MBILS)
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. In the paper' formulation, the interval between words is not uniform. Before resubmitting, be sure that your material is properly prepared and formatted</p> <p>2. In the work, some sentences contain grammatical errors. It is noted that your manuscript needs careful editing by someone with expertise in technical English editing paying particular attention to English grammar, spelling, and sentence structure so that the goals and results of the study are clear to the reader.</p> <p>3. Do not use too many long sentences. This can make your paper hard to understand and even more logic confusion.</p> <p>2. Paragraph typesetting is inappropriate. Reasonable typesetting is of great significance.</p> <p>3. The figures in this article are improper. Some titles of the figures are missing.</p> <p>4. Some figures in this paper are too big.</p> <p>5. Some mathematical formulae are improper, such as the formulae in line 100, 105, and 106.</p> <p>6. Some mathematical symbols, such as those in line 105, and 106, are improper</p> <p>7. This paper talks about the microcontroller Based intruder lighting system. The reviewer would like to suggest authors to see more design issue related to research within the data-driven framework to achieve more industrial oriented results. The authors could refer recent results. E.g. TIE.2014.2301773, TIE.2014.2345331, TIE.2014.230813, International Journal of Systems Science, 45(7):1375-1382, 2014,</p>	<p>Comment 1: I appreciate your observation and suggested correction implied. Corrected in the edited manuscript.</p> <p>Comment 2: Sentences and grammars noted and corrected</p> <p>Comments 3 -6: Corrected in the manuscript</p> <p>Comments 7: Results and frames work is a clear fact for the research. Correction was made using order literature review has advised.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Mechatronics, 24(4):298-306 for more information.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		