



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_PSIJ_4768
Title of the Manuscript:	Structural and Optical Properties of Polymer Blend Nanocomposites Based on Poly (vinyl acetate-co-vinyl alcohol)/TiO₂ Nanoparticles

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>I have performed the checking on the authors's response against the comments in my first review.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The first comment was well responded. However, please write the meaning of "conventional polymer composites" in the last sentence of the first paragraph on page 2. 2) The second comment was not responded. 3) Quite well responded. Clearer x-axis labelling in Fig. 2 and 3. 4) Responded. 5) The fifth comment was not responded. 6) Responded. The sentence has now been completed and elaborated. 7) The last comment has not been responded. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The meaning of conventional polymer composites has been included and highlighted in yellow. 2) The paragraph has been moved up and highlighted in yellow. 5) This is so because XRD works well in the nanometer regime. Also a crystal viewed with XRD is seen by SEM as unit part of an aggregate of crystallites called particle. This obviously explains why crystallite size obtained by XRD is smaller than particle size obtained by SEM. 7) The respond given under (6) has taken care of (7) and this has been corrected and highlighted in yellow.