

Editor's Comment:

I have reviewed the paper entitled Estimation of wind power potential of six sites in Eastern Cape Province of South Africa in its corrected and modified form. The paper contributes to the state of the art by contributing information and analysis that is timely and appropriate. Moreover, its methodology comports with conventional standards commonly used to teach the art of wind turbine analysis and applications.

A couple of comments seem appropriate here.

1. A comprehensive paper that investigates effective alternatives to current wind turbine design would be both useful and timely. Current wind turbine design and architecture is neither effective nor efficient – there are numerous alternative methods which are not embodied in the current design of commercially available wind turbines.
2. A paper evaluating innovative methods that could be used for storing the wind while energy densities are sufficient to turn the rotors would provide impetus to a long-needed investigation of this alternative method of wind-generate power.
3. A paper that investigates how the design-architecture of wind turbines is controlled by a very small universe of patent holders would be useful – it could explain why so few reasonable alternatives to the state-of-the-art have been demonstrated and commercialized.

I have conducted substantial research on this subject and would willing to collaborate with the authors and their sponsoring university to produce papers of this kind if your journal is interested in publishing this kind of information.

I recommend that the paper be publishedI have reviewed the paper entitled **Estimation of wind power potential of six sites in Eastern Cape Province of South Africa** in its corrected and modified form. The paper contributes to the state of the art by contributing information and analysis that is timely and appropriate. Moreover, its methodology comports with conventional standards commonly used to teach the art of wind turbine analysis and applications.

A couple of comments seem appropriate here.

1. A comprehensive paper that investigates effective alternatives to current wind turbine design would be both useful and timely. Current wind turbine design and architecture is neither effective nor efficient – there are numerous alternative methods which are not embodied in the current design of commercially available wind turbines.
2. A paper evaluating innovative methods that could be used for storing the wind while energy densities are sufficient to turn the rotors would provide impetus to a long-needed investigation of this alternative method of wind-generate power.
3. A paper that investigates how the design-architecture of wind turbines is controlled by a very small universe of patent holders would be useful – it could explain why so few reasonable alternatives to the state-of-the-art have been demonstrated and commercialized.

I have conducted substantial research on this subject and would willing to collaborate with the authors and their sponsoring university to produce papers of this kind if your journal is interested in publishing this kind of information.

I recommend that the paper be published.

Editor's Details:

David G. Yurth
Director: Science & Technology,
The Nova Institute of Technology
Holladay, Utah, USA