



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_PSIJ_18029
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Effective Atomic Number of Some Pharmaceutical Active Ingredients in Drug
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>I like the structure of the manuscript which leads to a good readability of the content. But:</p> <p>1) The authors should really revise the language. Especially the tense and word order in sentences. I strongly recommend the revision of the paper by an English native speaker.</p>	It was revised the manuscript with English professor
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Look at the indices used in the text across all the paper. Please revise errors. (e.g. lines: 59, 63 (2x), 67, 70(2x), 73(2x), 74(2x), 77, 80, 83(2x), and so on...). 2) At the line 86, there is used index Ne; but probably Nel index should be used as it is used in the eq. 9 	It was corrected all the conversation in the article
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		