



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Physical Science International Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | 2015_PSIJ_18553 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Electrical and photoelectric properties of crystal InGaTe2 |

PART 2:

| FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any) | | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments |
|--|--|---|
| Line No | Comments | <p>We corrected the manuscript again and made some changeable</p> <p>We corrected the spelling mistakes in the text and marked yellow</p> <p>The incomplete sentences have been completed</p> <p>This sentence has been corrected and make changeable.</p> <p>Some expressions have been changed and the sentence corrected. All changeable have been marked yellow</p> <p>mkm- replaced μm</p> <p>In the line 111 sentence has been changed and explained more clearly</p> <p>The reference made according to the rules of the journal</p> |
| | I could see very small improvement in the revised manuscript. Still it is not ok. If only the language is ok, then only we can think about the subject | |
| | There are hundreds of spelling mistakes | |
| | Sentences are incomplete | |
| | radiation with energy of quantum $\hbar\omega = 1,17\text{eV}$ the impurity photoconduction holds..... incompletion | |
| Line 48 | "Photoconductivity of the crystal InGaTe_2 was investigated by collinear source of light at stationary mode, by the method of modulation of light intensity at frequency at 47kHz." Unable to Understand | |
| | Energy band values and units are not in proper dimension | |
| Line 100 | $\lambda = 1,06 \text{ mkm}$ What It Means? | |
| Line 111 | What you mean by Impurity Photoconductivity? | |
| Reference | There is no uniformity | |
| | I strongly recommend to reject this paper | |