

Editor's Comment:

I am confident that this paper should eventually be published, as the journal has stated out its policy of not rejecting a submission based solely on the lack of originality. So this should clearly be reported to the author. However, if other researchers have worked on the field previously and obtained comparable results, they should be quoted.

The author should be disclosed the (possibly edited, to remove unsubstantiated claims of falsity on the author's part) content of the email from Dr. EZ sent on Sat, May 30, 2015 at 2:51 PM to the Managing Editor and ask him to comment on these four references. If they are relevant, they should be cited in the paper and discussed in relation to the method proposed and results obtained in the present submission. If I am not mistaken, these are the references that the author was asking to receive from the referee when he wrote 'why the reviewer did not mention a few of them as example?'.
why the reviewer did not mention a few of them as example?'.

Author's feedback:

Dear Editor, yes, you are right. We were asking the reviewer to provide some references wherein some equations have been solved whose balance number is two. We are grateful to the reviewer for providing the important references. These articles helped us to enhance the quality of this manuscript as well as improved our knowledge. In the revised manuscript, we have cited **the suggested four articles whose reference numbers are [39-42], highlighted by yellow color** and also discussed about them in the text. In the acknowledgement section, we have acknowledged the reviewers good jobs.

The revised article has been submitted to the journal. **We look forward to your positive report.**