



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_20646
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential of the Penning Ionization Gauge (PIG) Ion Source in attaining High Energy Rydberg States
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This paper relates an interesting piece of work Suggestion: suppress lines 28-51; start the Introduction at line 52. One important sentence needs revision: lines 224-226; as written it is incomprehensible OK to publish after above minor revisions.</p>	<p>The author greatly appreciates the input from the reviewer.</p> <p>As per the suggestions of the reviewer, the lines 28 to 51 were suppressed.</p> <p>In addition, the lines 224 to 226 were changed to be not incomprehensible.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		