



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_21652
Title of the Manuscript:	Regional Magnetic Field Trend and Depth to Magnetic Source Determination from Aeromagnetic Data of Maijuju Area, North Central, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The paper contains some interesting results which are not well presented.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Figure 1 is not visible and should be re-plotted. Equation (1) is not understandable . Equations (2)-(4) seem to be written by “cut and paste”. All equations should be re-written. 	<p>1. I have re-plotted Figure 1. It is a lot clearer</p> <p>2. The template for the paper I downloaded from the science domain site was in Microsoft office compatibility format (that is either 97-2003 or 2007 word document) so I had to convert my article file which was a 2010 word document to 97-2003 word document so the equations appeared as pictures. I have stepped it up again to 2010 so the equations are clearer now. I used Microsoft office equation editor to type the equations and did not do “cut and paste”</p> <p>3. Thank you for observations</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		