



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_21652
Title of the Manuscript:	Regional Magnetic Field Trend and Depth to Magnetic Source Determination from Aeromagnetic Data of Maijuju Area, North Central, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The following corrections are suggested:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In abstract, remove sub headings: Aim:, Methodology:, Results:, and Conclusion: 2. Line 10, remove (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED, CAPS). 3. Line 45, use the word "order" instead of "other" 4. Equation (1), use bigger font to make it clear. 5. Use small letter w in "Where" in lines 90, 105 6. Write ref [18] in line 143 appropriately. 7. Write ref [17] in line 154 appropriately. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The template for the paper I downloaded from the science domain site was in Microsoft office compatibility format (that is either 97-2003 or 2007 word document) so I had to convert my article file which was a 2010 word document to 97-2003 word document so the equations appeared as pictures. I have stepped it up again to 2010 so the equations are clearer now. 2. I added a little more to the paper which is highlighted in red. This has also affected the arrangement of the lines. Also one more reference was included that i.e [11] 3. I have made your corrections which are highlighted in yellow.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		