



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Physical Science International Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_PSIJ_18709 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Charge Radii of B and D Mesons in a Quark Model with a Two Loop Static Potential |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | This is a well-written and interesting manuscript, which I am happy to recommend for publication after the authors have made the following addition. In the first sentence of the fourth paragraph of the Conclusions, they indicate that their computational results agree well with current experimental data. It would be helpful to the reader if they could provide more details concerning this statement. | We highly appreciate the comment of the esteemed reviewer. However we revise the stated statement to "our results agree with current available data as well as with those of Hwang[9]" since no experimental value is available. |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |