## Original Research Article

# Diffusion and trapping of positrons in unimplanted and ion implanted 3C-SiC and 6H-SiC

Abstract. The mechanism of slow positrons has been discussed in terms of diffusion of positrons at the surface of SiC and trapping in to as-grown and irradiation induced defects. The one dimensional diffusion equation has been solved and the rate equations have been set up to describe the various processes supposed to occur when a thermalized positron encounters the SiC surface. The above model has been used to obtain the S-parameter as a function of positron energy in unimplanted and in Al<sup>+</sup>, N<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> and P<sup>+</sup> implanted 3C-SiC and 6H-SiC. The calculated results have been compared with the experimental data. The S-parameter in unimplanted SiC decreases rapidly at low positron energy and becomes nearly constant at high energies suggesting that at low energy the trapping of positrons in shallow defects is important while at high energy the bulk effect dominates. In case of ion-implanted SiC, the S-parameter initially increases up to  $\approx 3$  keV and then decreases at higher energies. Thus, at very low positron energy the trapping of positrons into divacancies could be clearly distinguished. The trapping rate into divacancies is found to be proportional to the fluence used to irradiate the sample.

#### 17 **1. Introduction**

18 Silicon carbide (SiC) is regarded as a promising material for high-temperature, high-power, high-19 frequency, and radiation-resistant devices because it has high thermal stability and conductivity. The 20 material has outstanding electronic properties such as an extremely high breakdown field, high 21 electron saturation drift velocity and excellent radiation resistance [1-3]. In order to improve the 22 device performance, it is necessary to characterize thoroughly the starting material with respect to its 23 electrical and optical properties as well as to establish a microscopic understanding of defects. Ion 24 implantation seems to be the only localized doping method for SiC, but this technique introduces 25 radiation damage and easily causes amorphization [4-6]. Ion-implantation at elevated temperatures 26 (hot implantation) is known to reduce damage and enhance the activation of impurities, but it also 27 introduces extended defects such as dislocation loops, which degrade the electrical properties [7,8].

28 In recent years, positron annihilation spectroscopy (PAS) has assumed great significance to 29 investigate the electronic and defect properties of solids. The technique has been widely applied to 30 investigate the as-grown defects and irradiation-induced defects in SiC. Dannefaer et al. [9] presented 31 positron lifetime and Doppler broadening data on electron-irradiated 6H-SiC which shows that both 32 neutral carbon and silicon vacancies are formed in n-type materials, but in p-type materials no vacancy 33 responses could be found. Polity et al. [10] correlated isochronal annealing investigations in electron-34 irradiated 6H-SiC with temperature dependent measurements of positron lifetime. It turned out that the 35 positron trapping at temperatures up to 300 K was dominated by trapping in shallow positron traps. 36 These defects were already present in the unirradiated materials and could be attributed to the antisite 37 defects. They concluded that the annealing of the irradiation-induced monovacancies and divacancies 38 took a continuous course up to 1740 K.

<sup>39</sup> Uedono et al. [11] determined the depth distributions and species of defects from measurements of <sup>40</sup> Doppler broadening spectra of annihilation radiation and lifetime spectra of positrons for 6H-SiC <sup>41</sup> implanted with 200 keV P<sup>+</sup> at a dose of  $1 \times 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>. They found vacancy-type defects in the

1

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

42 subsurface region (<100 nm) at high concentration even subsequent to an annealing at 1700 °C. Brauer 43 et al. [12] investigated the radiation damage caused by the implantation of 200 keV Ge<sup>+</sup> ions into 6H-44 SiC by employing the monoenergetic positron beam technique. Specimens exposed to seven ion 45 fluences ranging from  $10^{16}$  to  $10^{19}$  m<sup>-2</sup>, together with unirradiated samples, were studied. Their 46 positron measurements and the theoretical calculations suggest that the main defect produced due to 47 the irradiation is the divacancy. However, Si monovacancies were also found to be created.

48 The above studies suggest that in case of ion-implanted SiC large experimental data are available in 49 the literature. However, only little theoretical work has been done to understand the mechanism of 50 slow positron annihilation particularly the nature and concentration of defects in unimplanted and ion-51 implanted SiC. Normally the slow positron data are evaluated by employing the VEPFIT or 52 POSTRAP codes. The VEPFIT programme developed by van Veen et al. [13] is a package for the 53 evaluation of slow positron beam data. A Gaussian curve as an analytic function of the defect profile 54 can be taken as a programme input. Both Gaussian and a step function of the defect concentration may 55 reflect the experimental data approximately. The POSTRAP [14] programme includes defects and the 56 effect of electric field on positron diffusion. It allows arbitrary forms of the positron implantation 57 profile. Aers et al. [15] presented POSTRAP6 is a defect profiling programme used to calculate the 58 fractions trapped in different regions of a sample. Thus, one can calculate the fractions annihilated at 59 the surface in defect less regions or while trapped at defect sites. Often it can not be decided which 60 function is the better choice to represent the real defect profile. This is due to the broad implantation 61 profile of the positron and the positron diffusion which is itself a function of the defect concentration. 62 The present work is aimed at understanding the diffusion of positrons at the surface of SiC and 63 trapping into as-grown and irradiation induced defects. The rate equations have been set up to describe 64 the various processes supposed to occur when a thermalized positron encounters the SiC surface. We 65 have particularly considered the dependence of the diavacency concentration on the fluence of the Al<sup>+</sup>, 66  $N_2^+$  and P<sup>+</sup> implantation in the above samples. The model has been used to calculate the Doppler 67 broadening line shape parameter (S-parameter) and the results have been compared with the 68 experimental data.

#### 69 **2. Formulation of the Model**

75

Consider the case of slow positrons incident on SiC surface. After losing their kinetic energy, the penetrated positrons may either directly annihilate with surrounding electrons or certain fractions of positrons may diffuse back to the surface and escape into the vacuum. The positrons are known to localise in defects. We have, therefore, considered the trapping of positrons in shallow defects, and divacancies. The motion of positrons at SiC surface is governed by

$$\frac{\partial u(r,t)}{\partial t} = D_{+} \nabla^{2} u(r,t) - \lambda_{eff} u(r,t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [v_{d} u(r,t)]$$
(1)

where  $D_+$  is the positron diffusion coefficient and u(r,t) is the positron density as a function of both time and position.  $\lambda_{eff}$  is the effective annihilation rate of positron in a truly diffusion state and  $v_d$  is the field dependent drift velocity. We describe the motion of positrons implanted in the semi-infinite medium with a given implantation profile using the one dimensional diffusion equation.

80 
$$D_{+} \frac{\partial^{2} u(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ v_{d} u(x,t) \right] - \lambda_{eff} u(x,t) = \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t}$$
(2)

81 The diffusion equation is solved, subject to the boundary conditions:

82 
$$u(0,t) = 0$$
 (absorbing boundary) (3)  
82  $u(u,t) = C(u)$  (implementation and file)

83 
$$u(x,0) = C_0(x)$$
 (implantation profile) (4)

84 Considering the Gaussian derivative type of implantation profile

85 
$$C_0(x) = \frac{2x}{x_0^2} \exp\left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)^2$$
(5)

where  $x_0$  and the mean implantation depth '*a*' of the positron as a result of inelastic interactions with SiC molecules could be expressed by the formula:

88 
$$x_0 = \frac{2a}{\sqrt{\pi}}$$
 and  $a = AE^m$  (6)

E(keV) being the energy of the incident positron. The value of m is taken to be equal to 1.6 as per experimental observations and  $A = 400/\rho (\text{\AA/keV}^m)$  [16]. The dispersion of the depth profile increases quickly as the positron energy increases. In other words, the resolution defining the depth decreases quickly as the distance increases from the surface.

93 The solution of equation (2) so obtained is given by

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n} A_{n} \sin\left(\pm \frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) \exp\left[k_{1}x - \left(D_{+}p^{2} + \lambda_{eff}\right)t\right]$$
(7)

95 where,

$$k_1 = \frac{v_d}{2D_+}$$
 ,  $p^2 = \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} + \frac{v_d}{2D_+}$  (8)

97 and

98 
$$A_{n} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{a} C_{0}(x) \sin\left(\pm \frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) \exp(-(k_{1}x)) dx$$
(9)

99

103

105

107

114

94

96

100 The desired rate of positrons reaching the surface

101 
$$N(t) = D_{+} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x} | x = 0$$
(10)

102 Thus, we get

$$N(t) = \sum_{n} B_n \exp(-b_n t) \quad , \tag{11}$$

104 where

$$B_n = \frac{D_+ \pi^2 n}{a^4} \int_0^a x \sin\left(\pm \frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{a^2} + k_1 x\right) dx \tag{12}$$

106 and

$$b_n = \frac{D_+ \pi^2 n^2}{a^2} + \lambda_{eff}$$
(13)

(14)

When a beam of monoenergetic positrons is implanted from a vacuum to an unirradiated SiC specimen, the four possible locations for the positron before the annihilation are (i) the bulk matrix, (ii) a defect, such as shallow defects (iii) on the surface, or (iv) the vacuum. We have considered the case of both unirradiated and ion irradiated SiC samples. In case of an irradiated sample the positrons will also be trapped in divacancies for higher dose of radiation. The rate equations describing all these processes as encountered by the positrons at the SiC surface are written as follows:

$$\frac{\partial n_b(t)}{\partial t} = -\lambda_b n_b(t) - N(t)$$

115 
$$\frac{\partial n_s(t)}{\partial t} = -\alpha_s n_s(t) + N(t)$$
(15)

116 where escape rate at the surface is

117 
$$\alpha_s = \lambda_s + \alpha_{st} + \alpha_{1v} + \alpha_{2v}$$
(16)

118 
$$\frac{\partial n_{st}(t)}{\partial t} = -\alpha_{sd} n_{st}(t) + \alpha_{st} n_{s}(t)$$
(17)

$$\frac{\partial n_{sd}(t)}{\partial t} = -\lambda_{st} n_{sd}(t) + \alpha_{sd} n_{st}(t)$$
(18)

120

121

$$\frac{\partial n_{2\nu}(t)}{\partial t} = -\alpha_{\nu 2} n_{2\nu}(t) + \alpha_{2\nu} n_s(t)$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

$$\frac{\partial n_{\nu 2}(t)}{\partial t} = -\lambda_{2\nu} n_{\nu 2}(t) + \alpha_{\nu 2} n_{2\nu}(t)$$
(20)

122 In the above equations  $n_b$ ,  $n_s$ ,  $n_{sv}$ ,  $n_{2v}$  represents the fraction of positrons in bulk state, in surface 123 state and trapped into shallow defects and divacancies respectively.  $n_{sd}$ ,  $n_{v2}$  represents the fraction that 124 detrapped from shallow defects and divacancies.  $\alpha_{ij}$  are the transition rates from  $i^{th}$  state to  $j^{th}$  state and 125  $\lambda_j$  are the annihilation rates in the respective states. Equations (14–20) have been solved using 126 appropriate initial conditions and using equation (11) for N(t).

#### 127 **3. Calculation of S-parameter in SiC**

128 The relation for the S-parameter in the SiC can be obtained from the following:

129 
$$S = S_b \int_0^\infty \lambda_b n_b(t) dt + S_s \int_0^\infty \lambda_s n_s(t) dt + S_d \int_0^\infty \lambda_d n_d(t) dt$$
(21)

where  $S_b$ ,  $S_s$  and  $S_d$  represent the value of S-parameter in the bulk, surface and defects states respectively. The third term in the above equation is the contribution to the S-parameter from trapping of positrons into defects states. Let us first consider the case of unirradiated SiC. Here the main defect is shallow traps. Thus, for as-grown SiC we write

134 
$$S_{d} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda_{d} n_{d}(t) dt = S_{d} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda_{st} n_{sd}(t) dt$$
(22)

135 The above integrals have been evaluated using equations (14-20). Thus, we get the S-parameter for 136 unimplanted SiC

137 
$$S = S_b + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{b_n} \left( S_s \frac{\lambda_s}{\alpha_s} + S_d \frac{\alpha_{st}}{\alpha_s} - S_b \right)$$
(23)

We next consider the case of irradiated SiC. In this case the irradiation induces divacancies also in addition to the shallow traps. Thus, in the case of irradiated SiC, the third term in Eqn. (21) becomes

140 
$$S_{d}\int_{0}^{\infty}\lambda_{d}n_{d}(t)dt = S_{d}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty}\lambda_{1\nu}n_{\nu1}(t)dt + \int_{0}^{\infty}\lambda_{2\nu}n_{\nu2}(t)dt\right]$$
(24)

141 The S-parameter in irradiated SiC becomes

142 
$$S = S_b + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{b_n} \left[ S_s \frac{\lambda_s}{\alpha_s} + S_d \left( \frac{\alpha_{st}}{\alpha_s} + \frac{\alpha_{2v}}{\alpha_s} \right) - S_b \right]$$
(25)

143 The different positron trapping and detrapping rates used in equations (21-25) are evaluated as 144 follow. To obtain the trapping rate  $\alpha_{st}$  we understand that such a rate must be proportional to the 145 vacancy concentration available for trapping. Thus,

146 
$$\alpha_{st} = \mu_{st} C_{st}$$
(26)

147 where  $C_{st}$  is the shallow defect concentration and  $\mu_{st}$  is the trapping coefficient [17]. The concentration

of divacancies is known to be proportional to the fluence f [18], the positron trapping rate into divacancies could be written as [16]

150 
$$\alpha_{2\nu} = \sigma_2 \frac{Z}{2} f \tag{27}$$

where  $\sigma_2$  is the trapping coefficient, Z is the coordination number of lattice and *f* is the fluence used to irradiate the specimen. The thermally activated detrapping rate from i<sup>th</sup> state is given by [19]

153 
$$\alpha_{ji} = \sigma_i T^{3/2} \exp\left(\frac{E_{bi}}{K_b T}\right)$$
(28)

154 where,  $E_{bi}$  is the binding energy of the positrons into the i<sup>th</sup> state with pre-exponential factor  $\sigma_i$ .

### 155 **4. Results and Discussion**

Employing the procedure as described above, the Doppler broadening line shape parameter (Sparameter) has been calculated as a function of incident positron energy in unimplanted and ionimplanted 3C-SiC and 6H-SiC. The parameters used in the calculation are listed in Table 1. Most of these have been taken from the experimental results. A few constants have been estimated to give good results.

Table 1. Values of different parameters used in the calculation of					
S-parameter along with the references from which they are taken.					
Parameter	3C-SiC	Ref.	6H-SiC	Ref.	
$\tau_b [ps]$	138	[21]	141	[21]	
$\tau_{st} [ps]$	142	[10]	144	[10]	
$\tau_{2v} [ps]$	254	[12]	266	[11]	
$S_b$	0.4606	[20]	0.4572	[11]	
$S_s$	0.4817	[20]	0.4847	[*]	
$S_d$	0.4936	[*]	0.4967	[*]	
$\rho [g \ cm^{-3}]$	3.217	[*]	3.217	[12]	
$L_{+}[nm]$	253	[*]	253	[11]	
(unimplanted)					
$L_{+}[nm]$	3.5	[*]	3.5	[11]	
(implanted)					
$E_{st} [eV]$	0.165	[10]	0.169	[10]	
$E_{2v}[eV]$	3.48	[12]	3.53	[*]	
$\mu_{st}[s^{-l}]$	$6.69 \times 10^{16}$	[*]	$6.9 \times 10^{16}$	[10]	
*Present work					

162

161

The calculated results of S-parameter in unimplanted 3C-SiC and 6H-SiC have been plotted in 163 164 Figs. 1 and 2. In these figures the experimental results taken from Uedono et al. [20,11] are also shown 165 for comparison. The S-parameter in unirradiated SiC decreases with the increase in the incident 166 positron energy. The decrease is fast at low energy and becomes nearly constant at high energies. This 167 is due to the fact that at low positron energy, positrons are trapped in near surface defects i.e. the 168 shallow defects. Thus, with increase in positron energy the S-parameter decreases and tends to 169 approach a constant value after  $\approx 20$  keV. This suggests that at high energy all positrons are implanted 170 into bulk and annihilate without diffusing back to the surface.

Next, we considered the case of Al<sup>+</sup>,  $N_2^+$  and P<sup>+</sup> -implantation at a high dose i.e.  $1 \times 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> in 3C-171 172 SiC and 6H-SiC. The calculated results of S-parameter as a function of incident positron energy 173 corresponding to different types of ion implantation have been plotted in Figs. 3-5 along with the 174 experimental results of Uedono et al. [20,11]. These figures show that in case of high dose ion 175 implantation, the S-parameter initially increases at low energy i.e. up to  $E \approx 3 \text{ keV}$  and then decreases 176 and tends to assume constant at high energy i.e. E > 20 keV. This increase in S-parameter at low 177 positron energy is due to the trapping of positrons into divacancies created by high fluence of ions. 178 The calculation shows that the concentration of divacancies increases in the specimen up to  $\approx 170$  nm 179 from the surface due to irradiation by high fluence. At higher positron energy i.e. >3 keV, the decrease

in S-parameter is due to the trapping of positrons into the shallow defects and after  $E \approx 20$  keV, the bulk annihilation dominates. The present calculation also suggests that the trapping rate into divacancies is proportional to the fluence used to irradiate the specimen (Eqn. 27).





184 185













Figure 5. Comparison of the calculated S-parameter as a function of incident positron energy in 6H-SiC implanted by 200 keV P<sup>+</sup> ion at a dose of  $1 \times 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> with the experimental results of Uedono et al. [11].

192

## 193 **5.** Conclusions

194 The above calculations of S-parameter in unimplanted and ion-implanted 3C-SiC and 6H-SiC leads to 195 the following conclusions:

(i) The S-parameter in unirradiated SiC decreases with the increase in the incident positron
energy. The decrease is fast at low energy and becomes nearly constant at high energies. Thus, at low
energy positron trapping in shallow defects is important while at high energy the bulk effect
dominates.

200 (ii) In case of ion-implanted SiC at a dose of  $1 \times 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, the S-parameter initially increases up 201 to  $\approx 3$  keV and then starts decreasing. Thus, at very low positron energy (near the surface  $\approx 170$  nm) the 202 trapping of positrons into divacancies could be clearly distinguished. The trapping rate into 203 divacancies is found to be proportional to the fluence used to irradiate the sample.

(iii) The present calculation shows that the nature and concentration of near surface defects due
 to irradiation in SiC could be understood by means of diffusion trapping model.

### 206 References

- 207 [1] Ruff M, Mitlehner H and Helbig R 1994 IEEE Trans. Electron Devices 41 1040.
- Siergiej R R, Sriram S, Clarke R C, Agrawal A K, Brandt C D, Burk A A, Jr., Smith TJ, Morse
   A and Orphanos PA 1996 *Inst. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 142 769.
- [3] Ohshima T, Yoshikawa M, Itoh H, Takahashi T, Okumura H, Yoshida S and Nashiyama I 1996
   *Inst. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 142 801.

[4] Heft A, Wendler E, Heindel J, Bachmann T, Glaser E, Strunk H P and Wesch W 1996 *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B* 113 239.

- [5] Troffer T, Schadt M, Frank T, Itoh H, Pensl G, Heindl J, Strunk H P and Maier M 1997 *Phys.* Stat. Sol. A 162 277.
- 216 [6] Wendler E, Heft A and Wesch W 1998 Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 141 105.
- 217 [7] Rao M V, Griffiths P, Gardner J, Holland O W, Ghezzo M, Kretchmer J, Kelner G and Freitas J

218		A, Jr. 1995 J. Appl. Phys. 77 2479.
219	[8]	Kimto T, Inoue N and Mastunami H 1997 Phys. Stat. Sol. A 162 263.
220	[9]	Dannefaer S, Craigen D and Kerr D 1995 Phys. Rev. B 51 1928.
221	[10]	Polity A., Huth S and Lausmann M 1999 Phys. Rev. B 59 10603.
222	[11]	Uedono A, Tanigawa S, Ohshima T, Itoh H, Yoshikawa M, Nashiyama I, Frank T, Pensl G
223		Suzuki R, Ohdaira T and Mikado T 2000 J. Appl. Phys. 87 4119.
224	[12]	Brauer G, Anwand W, Coleman P G, Knights A P, Plazaola F, Pacaud Y, Skorupa W, Stormer J
225		and Willutzki P 1996 Phys. Rev. B 54 3084.
226	[13]	van Veen A, Schut H, de Vries J, Hakvoort R A and Llpma M R 1990 Positron Beams for
227		Solids and Surfaces ed P J Schultz, G Massoumi and P J Simpson (AIP, New York) p171.
228	[14]	Aers G C, Jensen I C O and Walker A B 1993 Proc. of the 5th Int. Workshop on Slow-Positron
229		Beam Techniques for Solids and Surfaces ed E H Ottewitte (AIP, New York).
230	[15]	Aers G C, Marshall P A, Leung T C and Goldberg R D 1995 Appl. Surf. Sci. 85 196.
231	[16]	Schultz P J and Lynn K G 1988 Rev. Mod. Phys. 60 701.
232	[17]	Kawasuso A, Itoh H, Okada S and Okumura H 1996 J. Appl. Phys. 80 5639.
233	[18]	Kawasuso A, Hasegawa M, Suezawa M, Yamaguchi S and Sumino K 1995 Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.
234		<b>34</b> 2197.
235	[19]	West R N 1979 Positrons in Solids ed P Hautojarvi (Springer-Verlag, Berlin) p105.
236	[20]	Uedono A, Itoh H, Ohshima T, Aoki Y, Yoshikawa M, Nashiyama I, Okumura H, Yoshida S,
237		Moriya T, Kawano T and Tanigawa S 1996 Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 35 5986.
238	[21]	Brauer G, Anwand W, Nicht E M, Kuriplach J, Sob M, Wagner N, Coleman P G, Puska M J
239		and Korhonen T 1996 Phys. Rev. B 54 2512.