

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_PSIJ_18553
Title of the Manuscript:	Electrical and photoelectric properties of crystal InGaTe2
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer,</i> <i>correct the manuscript and highlight that part in</i> <i>the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors</i> <i>should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have read the article carefully for several times and found it with some questions, such as the langue usages and some wrong words, it's only about the expression. For example, (1) "Found that acceptor centers are with the depth of 0.203 eV and 0801 eV". (2) " As is known, at higher degrees of optic excitation a sufficiently high 'consentration noneguilibrium'carrier", and so on. On the whole, the article has accounted for the mechanism of optical absorption in InGaTe2 crystal, maybe it will make some sense to the InGaTe2 crystal in the photoconduction application field. If the author improves the langue expressions, it's worthy of publication.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deming Ma
Department, University & Country	Department of Applied Physics, Xi'an University of Technology, China