



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_18676
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Spectroscopic Investigation and Magnetic Study of Iron, Manganese, Copper and Cobalt-doped Hydroxyapatite Nanopowders</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript Ms_PSIJ_18676 deals the spectral and magnetic study of metal doped Hydroxyapatite nanopowders. The manuscript is accepted with minor revisions for the favour of publication. The authors must consider and clarify the following comments.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In line 25, need to rearrange in sentence.</li> <li>2. Line 96 , the formula should arrange.</li> <li>3. In line 129, if indicate Cu K <math>\alpha</math> target. Explain it.</li> <li>4. Line 414 and 415 need to revise (Have will play)?</li> <li>5. The clarity of the figures 6 and 9 need to improve. The SEM and E tax value with the nano size range of the particle and its property influence should be discussed in the manuscript.</li> </ol>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Madras University, India</b>