



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Physical Science International Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_PSIJ_18810 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Tb3+ luminescence in NaMgSO4Cl Halosulphate phosphor for UV-LED fluorescent tubes |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line 75 – Please check the sentence. FTIR is labelled as Fig. 2 not Fig.3 Line 85, 86, 126 – Typo error: eg: 'assize'? Figure 3 – Professional scale bar is needed. Resolutions of all figures are poor. Literature review on the slow evaporation technique should be included in the manuscript. Line 97 – It is better to caption as Fig. 4a and Fig. 4b instead of Fig.4 (right) and Fig. 4 (left). | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name: | Anonymous |
| Department, University & Country | University of Malaya, Malaysia |