



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_20331
Title of the Manuscript:	A Short Review of Crystallographic Point Group T: Orbits and Stabilizers for a Regular Tetrahedron
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is very hard to know where are real novelties in this paper. The list of references is poor and motivations are real absent. I would like to give authors a second chance. The paper requires motivations, a comparison with the results found in literature and to address clearly the basic results derived mainly in crystallography and solid state physics. The paper requires a careful major revision.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rami Ahmad El-Nabulsi
Department, University & Country	Neijiang Normal University, China