



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_PSIJ_16699
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of wind power potential of six sites in Eastern Cape Province of South Africa
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The subject of the paper clearly falls within the scope of this Journal. The paper is very interesting, well written and well organized, and represents some advancement over the actual state-of-the-art. The ways and means are well described as well as the obtained results which are thoroughly discussed and conclusions are well drawn. The paper is also supported by some literature review. However, in order to make for a stronger paper, I suggest that the authors should cite and discuss the following relevant paper: Gomes and Cardoso, "Notice on a case study on the utilization of wind energy potential on a remote and isolated small wastewater treatment plant", Smart Grids and Renewable Energy, 2(3), 293-299 (2011) - DOI: 10.4236/sgre.2011.23033. I do recommend the publication of this paper, subjected to these minor changes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	João Fernando Pereira Gomes
Department, University & Country	Chemical Eng. Dept., ISEL-Lisbon Polytechnic, Portugal