



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_PSIJ_17057
Title of the Manuscript:	The Prospect of Nuclear Power after Fukushima Daiichi Accident in an Emerging Global Energy Crises.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Line 59 – Put all references in [], ex: [3,4]</p> <p>Line 82 – same correction pointed at line 59</p> <p>Line 137 – the font style doesn't match with all fonts in the paper</p> <p>Fig. 1 – The title is in front of the columns</p> <p>Line 173 - same correction pointed at line 59</p> <p>Line 191 - same correction pointed at line 59</p> <p>Fig. 2 – The figure doesn't have a title</p> <p>Fig.3 – You shouldn't abbreviate the legends. Ex: N. America – the correct is North America</p> <p>Reference 5 – you must not put <i>et al</i> in reference. You must writing all the authors</p> <p>References – You must revise all references and writing according to the journal's guidelines</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rodrigo Crespo Mosca
Department, University & Country	Radiation Technology Center, São Paulo University, Brazil