



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_PSIJ_16512
Title of the Manuscript:	3D STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS OF OTU FIELD, NIGER DELTA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is badly organized. The text needs extensive revision. The results presented are largely, incorrect and physically unreasonable.</p> <p>The figures are of poor quality. All of them needs redrafting. In many, the units of horizontal and vertical axes are illegible.</p> <p>The discussion part of the text describing structural features (illustrated in Figures 11, 12, 13) is highly speculative and unsubstantiated.</p> <p>This is also true of the part of the manuscript describing RMS amplitude maps, in Figures 14, 15 and 16.</p> <p>Figure 2 is the same as Figure 7 in USGS OF report 99-50H, Chapter A.</p> <p>Figure 3 is the same as Figure 16 in USGS OF report 99-50H, Chapter A</p> <p>See attached file for additional details.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valiya M Hamza
Department, University & Country	National Observatory, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil