SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SCIENCEDOMAIN

Journal Name:	**Physical Science International Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_PSIJ_16328**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Numerical simulation and measurement of temperature distribution in water-in-glass evacuated solar water heater**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. Formatting of the paper is not acceptable 2. Line 56, 63 and 64 should be arranged in organised manner. 3. Line 201, the tube size of 58 and 45mm is used in the paper but practically 58 and 47mm is in the market why? 4. Line 445 and 446 "Although the days are close to each other, there was a big difference in the ambient temperature for example may the 20th and 21" not clearly understandable. It should be explain briefly. 5. Figure 6, X-axis shows what? 6. In this paper only give the information of the flows, no technical data is used to justification of the results. 7. In the conclusion no data is given only theoretical explanation is given. Some results also added. 8. Why fluent 6.1 is used? 9. Add latest references.	

SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**India**