



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Physical Science International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_PSIJ_17270
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ACORN ADJUSTMENTS OF AUSTRALIAN TEMPERATURES ARE IN THE WRONG DIRECTION
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Reference 1-4 should be explained in the text</p> <p>Fig 1 shows the increasing trend in minimum temperature but with very low value of R2 which is non significant</p> <p>Similarly R2 value in the case of maximum temperature are very low indicating non significant measured CDO shows linear trend whereas reconstructed ACRON shows increasing trend which is quite confusing</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Conclusion is not clear</p> <p>Global temperature is expected to increase by 2°C only not by 4°C see IPCC findings</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R K Aggarwal
Department, University & Country	Department of Environmental Science, Dr Y S Parmar University of Horticulture & Forestry, Nauni , Solan , India