



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_12970
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of High Voltage on Texture, Color, and Growth of Aloe Vera Leaves
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Although this manuscript presents experimental evidence that supports the damage of plants by the high voltage generator, the conclusion insists the positive effect of the tesla generator. This conclusion is not logically supported by the experimental data (Table 1). An opposite data should be presented for the growth enhancement, the case of lower electric field. A variance analysis may result indicating no significant difference between two exposed samples and one control.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Expressions should be revised. For example, electric field is not countable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In a rectangular grounded cage, the equipotential surface and the spatial distribution of the electric field strength can be analysed by Laplace equation analysis. Two or three leaves of Aloe Vera faces the generator, but leaves in the opposite side faces ground potential experience lower electric field.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Japan