<u>Original Research Article</u> MHD Free Convection, Heat and Mass Transfer Chemical Reaction, Radiation and Heat Source or Sink over a Rotating Inclined Permeable Plate Variable Reactive Index

89 9

1

2

3

4

5

6

ABSTRACT

MHD free convection, heat and mass transfer flow over a rotating inclined permeable plate with the influence of magnetic field, thermal radiation and chemical reaction of various order has been investigated numerically. The governing boundary-layer equations are formulated and transformed into a set of similarity equations with the help of similarity variables derived by lie group transformation. The governing equations are solved numerically using the Nactsheim-Swigert Shooting iteration technique together with the Runge-Kutta six order iteration schemes. The simulation results are presented graphically to illustrate influence of magnetic parameter (M), porosity parameter (γ) , rotational parameter (R'), Grashof number (G_r) , modified Grashof number (G_m) , thermal conductivity parameter (T_c) , Prandtl number (P_r) , radiation parameter (R), heat source parameter (Q), Eckert number (E_c) , Schmidt number (S_c) , reaction parameter (λ) and order of chemical reaction (n) on the all fluid velocity components, temperature and concentration distribution as well as Skin-friction coefficient, Nusselt and Sherwood number at the plate.

11 12

13

Keywords: MHD; Inclined permeable plate; Thermal radiation; Chemical reaction;

14 NOMENCLATURE

15		
16	B_0	Constant magnetic flux density
17	С	Constant depends on the properties of the fluid
18	С	Concentration of the fluid
19	$C_{ ho}$	Specific heat at constant pressure
20	D_m	Mass diffusivity
21	f'	Dimensionless primary velocity
22	g	Acceleration due to gravity
23	g_0	Dimensionless secondary velocity
24	k	Thermal conductivity
25	k_{∞}	Undisturbed thermal conductivity

26	k_0	Reaction rate		
27	К	Permeability of the porous medium		
28	n	Order of chemical reaction		
29	Р	Pressure distribution in the boundary layer		
30	q_r	Radiative heat flux in the y direction		
31	Q_T	Heat generation		
32	Q_0	Heat source		
33	t	Time		
34	Т	Fluid temperature		
35	U	Uniform velocity		
36	<i>U, V</i>	Velocity components along x and y axes respectively		
37	<i>x</i> ′	Dimensionless axial distance along x axis		
38	Dimensionless parameters			
39	E_{c}	Eckert number		
40	R'	Rotational parameter		
41	G_r	Grashof number		
42	G_m	Modified Grashof number		
43	М	Magnetic parameter		
44	P_r	Prandtl number		
45	Q	Heat source parameter		
46	R	Radiation parameter		
47	S _c	Schmidt number		
48	T_{c}	Thermal conductivity parameter		
49	γ	Permeability of the porous medium		
50	λ	Reaction parameter		
51				
52	Greek Symbols			
53	υ	Kinematic viscosity of the fluid		
54	μ	Dynamic viscosity of the fluid		
55	σ	Electrical conductivity		

56	$oldsymbol{\sigma}_{_0}$	Constant electrical conductivity
57	$\sigma_{_s}$	Stefan-Boltzmann constant
58	ρ	Density of the fluid
59	α	Thermal diffusivity
60	$\alpha_1 - \alpha_6$	Arbitrary real number
61	eta	Inclination angle
62	$oldsymbol{eta}_{\scriptscriptstyle T}$	Thermal expansion coefficient
63	$oldsymbol{eta}_{C}$	Concentration expansion coefficient
64	ĸ	Mean absorption coefficient
65	ε	Parameter of the group
66	Ψ	Stream function
67	η	Similarity variable
68	heta	Dimensionless temperature
69	arphi	Dimensionless concentration
70	Ω	Angular velocity of the plate
71	Subscripts	
72	W	Condition of the wall

- $73 \quad \infty \qquad \qquad$ Condition of the free steam
- 74

75 1. INTRODUCTION

76

77 Coupled heat and mass transfer problems in the presence of chemical reactions are of 78 importance in many processes and have, therefore, received considerable amount of 79 attention of researchers in recent years. Chemical reactions can occur in processes such as 80 drying, distribution of temperature and moisture over agricultural fields and groves of fruit trees, damage of crops due to freezing, evaporation at the surface of a water body, energy 81 82 transfer in a wet cooling tower and flow in a desert cooler. Chemical reactions are classified as either homogeneous or heterogeneous processes. A homogeneous reaction is one that 83 occurs uniformly throughout a given phase. On the other hand, a heterogeneous reaction 84 takes a restricted area or within the boundary of a phase. Analysis of the transport 85 processes and their interaction with chemical reactions is quite difficult and closely related to 86 fluid dynamics. Chemical reaction effects on heat and mass transfer has been analyzed by 87 many researchers over various geometries with various boundary conditions in porous and 88 89 nonporous media. Symmetry groups or simply symmetries are invariant transformations that 90 do not alter the structural form of the equation under investigation which is described by Bluman and Kumei [1]. MHD boundary layer equations for power law fluids with variable 91 92 electric conductivity is studied by Helmy [2]. In the case of a scaling group of 93 transformations, the group-invariant solutions are nothing but the well known similarity solutions which is studied by Pakdemirli and Yurusoy [3]. Symmetry groups and similarity 94

95 solutions for free convective boundary-layer problem was studied by Kalpakides and 96 Balassas [4]. Makinde [5] investigated the effect of free convection flow with thermal 97 radiation and mass transfer past moving vertical porous plate. Seddeek and Salem [6] 98 investigated the Laminar mixed convection adjacent to vertical continuously stretching sheet 99 with variable viscosity and variable thermal diffusivity. Ibrahim, Elaiw and Bakr [7] studied the 100 effect of the chemical reaction and radiation absorption on the unsteady MHD free convection flow past a semi infinite vertical permeable moving plate with heat source and 101 102 suction. El-Kabeir, El-Hakiem and Rashad [8] studied Lie group analysis of unsteady MHD three dimensional dimensional by natural convection from an inclined stretching surface 103 saturated porous medium. Rajeswari, Jothiram and Nelson [9] studied the effect of chemical 104 105 reaction, heat and mass transfer on nonlinear MHD boundary layer flow through a vertical 106 porous surface in the presence of suction. Chandrakala [10] investigated chemical reaction effects on MHD flow past an impussively started semi-infinite vertical plate. Joneidi, 107 108 Domairry and Babaelahi [11] studied analytical treatment of MHD free convective flow and 109 mass transfer over a stretching sheet with chemical reaction. Muhaimin, Kandasamy and 110 Hashim [12] studied the effect of chemical reaction, heat and mass transfer on nonlinear 111 boundary layer past a porous shrinking sheet in the presence of suction. Rahman and 112 Salahuddin [13] studied hydromagnetic heat and mass transfer flow over an inclined heated 113 surface with variable viscosity and electric conductivity. As per standard text and works of 114 previous researchers, the radiative flow of an electrically conducting fluid and heat and mass 115 transfer situation arises in many practical applications such as in electrical power generation, astrophysical flows, solar power technology, space vehicle re-entry, nuclear reactors. 116

117

The objective of this study is to present a similarity analysis of boundary layer flow past a rotating inclined permeable plate with the influence of magnetic field, thermal radiation, thermal conductivity and chemical reaction of various orders.

- 121
- 122

2. MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF THE FLOW AND GOVERNING EQUATIONS

123

124 Steady two dimensional MHD heat and mass transfer flow with chemical reaction and 125 radiation over an inclined permeable plate y = 0 in a rotating system under the influence of 126 transversely applied magnetic field is considered. The x-axis is taken in the upward direction 127 and y-axis is normal to it. Again the plate is inclined at an angle β with the x-axis. The flow 128 takes place at $y \ge 0$, where y is the coordinate measured normal to the x-axis. Initially we consider the plate as well as the fluid is at rest with the same velocity $U(=U_{m})$, temperature 129 $T(=T_{\infty})$ and concentration $C(=C_{\infty})$. Also it is assumed that the fluid and plate is at rest 130 131 after that the whole system is allowed to rotate with a constant angular velocity $R = (0, -\Omega, 0)$ about the y-axis and then the temperature and species concentration of the 132 plate are raised to $T_w(>T_\infty)$ and $C_w(>C_\infty)$ respectively, which are thereafter maintained 133 constant, where T_w and C_w is the temperature and concentration respectively at wall and 134 135 T_{∞} and C_{∞} is the temperature and concentration respectively far away from the plate.



138 Fig. 1. Physical configuration of the flow

The electrical conductivity is assumed to vary with the velocity of the fluid and have the form[2],

141 $\sigma = \sigma_0 u$, σ_0 is the constant electrical conductivity.

142 The applied magnetic field strength is considered, as follows [13]

$$143 \qquad B(x) = \frac{B_0}{\sqrt{x}}$$

144 The temperature dependent thermal conductivity is assumed to vary linearly, as follows [6]

145
$$k(T) = k_{\infty} \lfloor 1 + c(T - T_{\infty}) \rfloor$$

146 Where k_{∞} is the undisturbed thermal conductivity and *c* is the constant depending on the 147 properties of the fluid.

The governing equations for the continuity, momentum, energy and concentration in laminarMHD incompressible boundary-layer flow is presented follows

150
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$
 (1)

151
$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = v\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + 2\Omega w - \frac{v}{K}u - \frac{\sigma_0 B_0^2 u^2}{\rho x} + g\beta_T (T - T_\infty) \cos\beta + g\beta_C (C - C_\infty) \cos\beta$$
(2)

152
$$u\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} = v\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} - 2\Omega u - \frac{v}{K}w - \frac{\sigma_0 B_0^2 u w}{\rho x}$$
(3)

153
$$u\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[k\left(T\right) \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{Q_0\left(T - T_{\infty}\right)}{\rho C_p} - \frac{\alpha}{k_{\infty}} \left(\frac{\partial q_r}{\partial y}\right) + \frac{v}{C_p} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^2$$
(4)

154
$$u\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = D_m \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} - k_0 \left(C - C_\infty\right)^n$$
(5)

and the boundary conditions for the model is

156
$$\begin{array}{c} u = U, v = 0, w = 0, T = T_w, C = C_w \text{ at } y = 0 \\ u \to 0, w \to 0, T \to T_\infty, C \to C_\infty \text{ as } y \to \infty \end{array}$$
 (6)

157 where, *U* is the uniform velocity, β is the inclination angle of the plate with *x*-axis, C_p is the 158 specific heat at constant pressure, k(T) is the temperature dependent thermal conductivity, 159 Q_0 is the heat source, D_m is the mass diffusivity, k_0 is the reaction rate, $k_0 > 0$ for destructive 160 reaction, $k_0 = 0$ for no reaction and $k_0 < 0$ for generative reaction, *n* (integer) is the order of 161 chemical reaction, q_r is the chemical reaction parameter, T_w and C_w is the temperature and 162 concentration respectively at wall and T_{∞} and C_{∞} is the temperature and concentration 163 respectively far away from the plate.

164

165 2.1 METHOD OF SOLUTION

167 Introducing the following dimensionless variables

168
$$x' = \frac{xU}{v}, y' = \frac{yU}{v}, u' = \frac{u}{U}, v' = \frac{v}{U}, w' = \frac{w}{U}, \theta = \frac{T - T_{\infty}}{T_{w} - T_{\infty}} \text{ and } \varphi = \frac{C - C_{\infty}}{C_{w} - C_{\infty}}$$

169 the following equations are obtained,

170
$$u = Uu', v = Uv', w = Uw', T = T_{\infty} + (T_w - T_{\infty})\theta$$
 and $C = C_{\infty} + (C_w - C_{\infty})\phi$ (7)

171 Now, by using equation (7), the equations (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5) are transformed to

$$\frac{\partial u'}{\partial v'} = \frac{\partial v'}{\partial v'}$$

172
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x'} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y'} = 0$$
 (8)

173
$$u'\frac{\partial u'}{\partial x'} + v'\frac{\partial u'}{\partial y'} = \frac{\partial^2 u'}{\partial {y'}^2} + 2R'w' - \gamma u' - \frac{Mu'^2}{x'} + G_r \theta \cos\beta + G_m \phi \cos\beta$$
(9)

174
$$u'\frac{\partial w'}{\partial x'} + v'\frac{\partial w'}{\partial y'} = \frac{\partial^2 w'}{\partial {y'}^2} - 2R'u' - \gamma w' - \frac{Mu'w'}{x'}$$
(10)

175
$$u'\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x'} + v'\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial y'} - \frac{1}{P_r} \left[\left(1 + T_c \theta + R \right) \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial {y'}^2} + T_c \left(\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial y'} \right)^2 \right] - Q\theta - E_c \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 = 0$$
(11)

176
$$u'\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x'} + v'\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial y'} - \frac{1}{S_c}\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial {y'}^2} + \lambda\varphi^n = 0$$
(12)

177 using equation (7), the boundary condition (6) becomes,

178
$$\begin{array}{c} u' = 1, v' = 0, w' = 0, \theta = 1, \varphi = 1 \text{ at } y' = 0 \\ u' \to 0, w' \to 0, \theta \to 0, \varphi \to 0 \text{ as } y' \to \infty \end{array}$$
 (13)

179 where,

180
$$R' = \frac{\Omega v}{U^2}, \gamma = \frac{v^2}{KU^2}, M = \frac{\sigma_0 B_0^2}{\rho}, G_r = \frac{g\beta_T (T_w - T_w)v}{U^3}, G_m = \frac{g\beta_c (C_w - C_w)v}{U^3}, T_c = c (T_w - T_w),$$

181
$$R = \frac{16\sigma_s T_{\infty}^3}{3\kappa^* k_{\infty}}, P_r = \frac{v}{\alpha}, Q = \frac{Q_0 v}{\rho C_p U^2}, E_c = \frac{U^2}{C_p (T_w - T_{\infty})}, S_c = \frac{v}{D_m} \text{ and } \lambda = \frac{k_0 (C_w - C_{\infty})^{n-1} v}{U^2}$$

182 In order to deal with the problem, we introduce the stream function ψ (since the flow is 183 incompressible) defined by

184
$$u' = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y'}, v' = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x'}$$
 (14)

185 The mathematical significance of using equation (14) is that the continuity equation (8) is 186 satisfied automatically.

187 by equation (14), equations (9), (10), (11) and (12) transformed as follows,

188
$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y'}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x'\partial y'} - \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x'}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial y'^2} - \frac{\partial^3\psi}{\partial y'^3} - 2R'w' + \gamma\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y'} + \frac{M}{x'}\left(\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y'}\right)^2 - G_r\theta\cos\beta - G_m\varphi\cos\beta = 0$$
(15)

189
$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y'} \frac{\partial w'}{\partial x'} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x'} \frac{\partial w'}{\partial y'} - \frac{\partial^2 w'}{\partial y'^2} + 2R' \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y'} + \gamma w' + \frac{M}{x'} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y'} w' = 0$$
(16)

$$190 \qquad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y'} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x'} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x'} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y'} - \frac{1}{P_r} \left[\left(1 + T_c \theta + R \right) \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial {y'}^2} + T_c \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y'} \right)^2 \right] - Q\theta - E_c \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial {y'}^2} \right)^2 = 0$$
(17)

191
$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y'} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x'} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x'} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y'} - \frac{1}{S_c} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial {y'}^2} + \lambda \varphi^n = 0$$
(18)

and the boundary conditions (13) become,

193
$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y'} = 1, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x'} = 0, w' = 0, \theta = 1, \varphi = 1 \text{ at } y' = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y'} \to 0, w' \to 0, \theta \to 0, \varphi \to 0 \text{ as } y' \to \infty$$
(19)

Finding the similarity solution of the equations (15) to (18) is equivalent to determining the invariant solutions of these equations under a particular continuous one parameter group. Introducing the simplified form of Lie-group transformations [8] namely, the scaling group of transformations

198
$$G_1: x^* = x'e^{\mathcal{E}\alpha_1}, y^* = y'e^{\mathcal{E}\alpha_2}, \psi^* = \psi e^{\mathcal{E}\alpha_3}, w^* = w'e^{\mathcal{E}\alpha_4}, \theta^* = \theta e^{\mathcal{E}\alpha_5} \text{ and } \phi^* = \phi e^{\mathcal{E}\alpha_6}$$
(20)

Here, $\varepsilon(\neq 0)$ is the parameter of the group and α 's are arbitrary real numbers whose interrelationship will be determined by our analysis. Equations (20) may be considered as a point transformation which transforms the coordinates $(x', y', \psi, w', \theta, \varphi)$ to the coordinates

202
$$(x^*, y^*, \psi^*, w^*, \theta^*, \phi^*).$$

The system will remain invariant under the group transformation G_1 , so the following relations among the exponents are obtained from equations (15) to (18),

$$\alpha_{1} + 2\alpha_{2} - 2\alpha_{3} = 3\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{3} = -\alpha_{4} = \alpha_{2} - \alpha_{3} = -\alpha_{5} = -\alpha_{6}$$

$$\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} - \alpha_{3} - \alpha_{4} = 2\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{4} = \alpha_{2} - \alpha_{3} = -\alpha_{4}$$

$$\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} - \alpha_{3} - \alpha_{5} = 2\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{5} = 2\alpha_{2} - 2\alpha_{5} = 4\alpha_{2} - 2\alpha_{3}$$

$$\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} - \alpha_{3} - \alpha_{6} = 2\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{6} = -\alpha_{6}$$
(21)

Again, the following relations are obtained from the boundary conditions (19),

$$\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 \\
\alpha_5 = \alpha_6 = 0
\end{array}$$
(22)

208 Solving the system of linear equations (21) and (22), the following relationship are obtained,

209
$$\alpha_1 = 2\alpha_2 = 2\alpha_3, \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = \alpha_6 = 0$$

210 by using the above relation the equation (20) reduces to the following group of 211 transformation

212
$$x^* = x'e^{2\mathcal{E}\alpha_2}, y^* = y'e^{\mathcal{E}\alpha_2}, \psi^* = \psi e^{\mathcal{E}\alpha_2}, w^* = w', \theta^* = \theta, \phi^* = \phi$$
 (23)

expanding equation (23) by Taylor's method in powers of ε and keeping terms up to the order ε , we have

215
$$x^* - x' = 2\varepsilon x' \alpha_2, y^* - y' = \varepsilon y' \alpha_2, \psi^* - \psi = \varepsilon \psi \alpha_2, w^* - w' = 0, \theta^* - \theta = 0, \varphi^* - \varphi = 0$$

216 In terms of differentials

217
$$\frac{dx'}{2\alpha_2 x'} = \frac{dy'}{\alpha_2 y'} = \frac{d\psi}{\alpha_2 \psi} = \frac{dw'}{0} = \frac{d\theta}{0} = \frac{d\varphi}{0}$$
(24)

218 Solving the equation (24) the following similarity variables are introduced,

219
$$\eta = \frac{y'}{\sqrt{x'}}, \psi = \sqrt{x'}f(\eta), w' = g_0(\eta), \theta = \theta(\eta) \text{ and } \varphi = \varphi(\eta)$$

By using the above mentioned variables, equations (15), (16), (17) and (18) becomes

221
$$f''' + \frac{1}{2} ff'' - Mf'^{2} + 2R'g_{0} - \gamma f' + G_{r} \theta \cos\beta + G_{m} \phi \cos\beta = 0$$
 (25)

222
$$g_0'' + \frac{1}{2} fg_0' - 2R'f' - \gamma g_0 - Mf'g_0 = 0$$
(26)

223
$$\frac{1}{P_r} \left(1 + T_c \theta + R \right) \theta'' + \frac{1}{P_r} T_c \theta'^2 + \frac{1}{2} f \theta' + Q \theta + E_c f''^2 = 0$$
(27)

224
$$\frac{1}{S_c} \varphi'' + \frac{1}{2} f \varphi' - \lambda \varphi^n = 0$$
 (28)

226
$$\begin{cases} f' = 1, f = 0, g_0 = 0, \theta = 1, \varphi = 1 \text{ at } \eta = 0 \\ f' \to 0, g_0 \to 0, \theta \to 0, \varphi \to 0 \text{ as } \eta \to \infty \end{cases}$$
 (29)

227 where primes denote differentiation with respect to η only and the parameters are defined as

228
$$M = \frac{\sigma_0 B_0^2}{\rho}$$
 is the magnetic parameter

229
$$\gamma = \frac{v x}{KU^2}$$
 is the porosity parameter

230
$$R' = \frac{\Omega v x'}{U^2}$$
 is the rotational parameter

231
$$G_r = \frac{g\beta_T (T_w - T_\infty)vx'}{U^3}$$
 is the Grashof number

232
$$G_m = \frac{g\beta_c \left(C_w - C_\infty\right)vx'}{U^3}$$
 is the modified Grashof number
233
$$T_c = c \left(T_w - T_\infty\right)$$
 is the thermal conductivity parameter

234
$$P_r = \frac{v}{\alpha}$$
 is the Prandtl number
235 $R = \frac{16\sigma_s T_{\infty}^3}{3\kappa^* k_{\infty}}$ is the radiation parameter
236 $Q = \frac{Q_0 v}{\rho C_p U^2}$ is the heat source parameter
237 $E_c = \frac{U^2}{C_p (T_w - T_{\infty})}$ is Eckert number
238 $S_c = \frac{v}{D_m}$ is the Schmidt number
239 $\lambda = \frac{k_0 (C_w - C_{\infty})^{n-1} v}{U^2}$ is the reaction parameter
240 and *n* (integer) is the order of chemical reaction
241
242 2.2 SKIN-FRICTION COEFFICIENTS, NUSSELT AND SHERWOOD NUMBER

The physical quantities of the skin-friction coefficients, the reduced Nusselt number and reduced Sherwood number are calculated respectively by the following equations,

246
$$C_f(R_e)^{\frac{1}{2}} = -f''(0)$$
 (30)

247
$$C_{g_0}(R_e)^{\frac{1}{2}} = -g_0'(0)$$
 (31)

248
$$N_u \left(R_e\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = -\theta'(0)$$
 (32)

249
$$S_h(R_e)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = -\varphi'(0)$$
 (33)

250 where, $R_e = \frac{Ux'}{v}$ is the Reynolds number.

251 252

253

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The heat and mass transfer problem associated with laminar flow past an inclined plate of a 254 rotating system has been studied. In order to investigated the physical representation of the 255 problem, the numerical values of primary velocity, secondary velocity, temperature and 256 species concentration from equations (25), (26), (27) and (28) with the boundary layer have 257 been computed for different parameters as the magnetic parameter (M), the rotational 258 parameter (R'), the porosity parameter (γ) , the Grashof number (G_r) , the modified Grashof 259 number (G_m) , the radiation parameter (R), the Prandtl number (P_r) , the Eckert number 260 (E_c) , the thermal conductivity parameter (T_c) , the heat source parameter (Q), the Schmidt 261 number (S_c) the reaction parameter (λ) , the inclination angle (β) and the order of chemical 262 reaction (n) respectively. 263

Figs. 2a and 2b show typical profiles for primary velocity (f') and secondary velocity (g_0) for different values of magnetic parameter, respectively. It is observed that as the magnetic parameter increases, the primary and secondary velocities are decreases and increases respectively, where other parameters have the value $R' = Gr = Gm = \gamma = Pr = Tc = R = 0.1$,

268
$$Q = Ec = Sc = \lambda = 0.1, \beta = 60^{\circ}, n = 1$$

Figs. 3a, 3b, 3c and 3d present typical profiles for primary velocity (f'), secondary velocity (g_0) , temperature (θ) and concentration (φ) for different values of rotational parameter, respectively. It is observed that as the rotational parameter increases, the primary velocity is decreases where as the secondary velocity, temperature and concentration is increases respectively, where other parameters have the value $M = Gr = Gm = \gamma = Pr = Tc = R = Q = Ec = Sc = 0.1, \ \lambda = 0.1, \ \beta = 60^0, n = 1.$







Fig. 2. Effect of magnetic parameter on a) primary velocity b) secondary velocity profiles

b)

281

282 283

a)





Fig. 3. Effect of rotational parameter on a) primary velocity b) secondary velocity c) temperature d) concentration profiles

Figs. 4a, 4b, 4c and 4d show typical profiles for primary velocity (f'), secondary velocity (g_0) , temperature (θ) and concentration (ϕ) for different values of porosity parameter γ , respectively. It is observed that as the porosity parameter increases, the primary velocity is decreases where as the secondary velocity, temperature and concentration is increases respectively, where other parameters have the value $M = R' = Gr = Gm = Pr = Tc = R = Q = Ec = Sc = \lambda = 0.1, \beta = 60^{\circ}, n = 1$.

- 298 299
- 300
- 301

302 303

a)



316
$$Pr = Tc = R = Q = Ec = Sc = \lambda = 0.1, n =$$

- a)





324 325



Figs. 6a, 6b and 6c present typical profiles for primary velocity(f'), secondary velocity(g_0) and temperature (θ) for different values of Grashof number, respectively. It is observed that as the Grashof number increases, the primary velocity is increases where as the secondary velocity and temperature is decreases respectively, where other parameters have the value $M = R' = \gamma = Gm = Pr = Tc = R = Q = Ec = Sc = \lambda = 0.1, \beta = 60^0, n = 1.$





346

Fig. 6. Effect of Grashof number on a) primary velocity b) secondary velocity c)
 temperature profiles

Figs. 7a, 7b and 7c show typical profiles for primary velocity (f'), secondary velocity (g_0) and concentration (φ) for different values of modified Grashof number, respectively. It is observed that as the modified Grashof number increases, the primary velocity is increases where as the secondary velocity and concentration is decreases respectively, where other parameters have the value $M = R' = \gamma = Gr = Pr = Tc = R = Q = Ec = Sc = \lambda = 0.1, \beta = 60^{\circ},$ n = 1.

Figs. 8a and 8b present typical profiles for primary velocity (f') and temperature (θ) for different values of Prandtl number, respectively. It is observed that as the Prandtl number increases, the primary velocity and temperature is increases and decreases respectively, where other parameters have the value $M = R' = Gr = Gm = \gamma = Tc = R = Q = Ec = Sc = 0.1$,







Fig. 7. Effect of modified Grashof number on a) primary velocity b) secondary velocity 363 c) concentration profiles 364





370

Fig. 8. Effect of Prandtl number on a) primary velocity b) temperature profiles

Fig. 9a displays typical profiles for primary velocity (f') for different values of Eckert number. 371 372 It is observed that the primary velocity is increases with the increase of Eckert number, 373 where other parameters have the value $M = Gr = Gm = \gamma = Pr = Tc = R = Q = R' = Sc = 0.1$,

374
$$\lambda = 0.1, \beta = 60^{\circ}, n = 1.$$

a)

375 Fig. 9b displays typical profiles for temperature (θ) for different values of Thermal 376 conductivity parameter. It is observed that the temperature is increases with the increase of conductivity parameter, where other parameters have the 377 Thermal value $M = Gr = Gm = \gamma = Pr = 0.1, \ Ec = R = Q = R' = Sc = \lambda = 0.1, \ \beta = 60^{\circ}, n = 1.$ 378

- 379
- 380
- 381





Fig. 9. Effect of a) Eckert number on primary velocity profiles b) thermal conductivity
 parameter on temperature profiles

Fig. 10a represents typical profiles for concentration (φ) for different values of Schmidt number *Sc*. It is observed that the concentration is decreases with the increase of Schmidt number, where other parameters have the value $M = Gr = Gm = \gamma = Pr = Ec = Q = 0.1$, $Tc = R' = R = \lambda = 0.1, \beta = 60^{\circ}, n = 1.$

Fig. 10b represents typical profiles for concentration (φ) for different values of reaction parameter λ . The no reaction $(\lambda = 0.0)$ and destructive reaction $(\lambda > 0.0)$ is studied. It is observed that the concentration is decreases with the increase of reaction parameter, where other parameters have the value $M = Gr = Gm = \gamma = Pr = Ec = Q = Tc = R' = R = Sc = 0.1$,



397

Fig. 10. Effect of a) Schmidt number on concentration profiles b) reaction parameter
 on concentration profiles

For the physical interest of the problem, the dimensionless skin-friction coefficient (-f'')400 401 and $\left(-g_{0}'\right)$, the dimensionless heat transfer rate $\left(-\theta'\right)$ at the plate and the dimensionless mass transfer rate $(-\phi')$ at the plate are plotted against Heat source parameter (Q) and 402 403 illustrated in Figs. 11-19. Figs. 11a 1nd 11b represent the primary shear stress (-f'') and secondary shear stress 404 $(-g'_0)$ which are plotted against heat source parameter (Q) for different values of magnetic 405 parameter. It is observed that the primary shear stress is decreases and secondary shear 406 stress is increases with the increase of magnetic parameter, where other parameters have 407 the value $R' = Gr = Gm = \gamma = Pr = Ec = Q = Tc = R = Sc = \lambda = 0.1, \beta = 60^{\circ}, n = 1$. 408 Figs. 12a and 12b represent the primary shear stress (-f'') and secondary shear stress 409 $\left(-g_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ which are plotted against heat source parameter $\left(Q\right)$ for different values of rotational 410

411 parameter. It is observed that the primary shear stress is decreases and secondary shear 412 stress is increases with the increase of rotational parameter, where other parameters have 413 the value $M = Gr = Gm = \gamma = Pr = Ec = Q = Tc = R = Sc = \lambda = 0.1$, $\beta = 60^{\circ}$, n = 1.

- 414 415
- b) a) R' = Gr = Gm = Pr = Tc = 0.1M = 0.0R = Q = Ec = Sc = 0.1, n = 1M = 0.1 $\lambda = \gamma = 0.1, \beta = 60^{\circ}$ M = 1.00.4 -M = 2.0-0.0 -M = 3.0M = 0.0M = 0.1M = 0.20.3 ·-··- M = 1.0 -0.02 $-f''^{0.2}$ $-g'_{a}$ -0.03 0.1 = Gr = Gm = Pr = Tc = 0.1R = Q = Ec = Sc = 0.1, n = 1 $\gamma = \lambda = 0.1, \beta = 60^{\circ}$ 10 8 2 4 6 8 10 Q 0

416 417

418 Fig. 11. Effect of magnetic parameter on a) primary shear stress b) secondary shear 419 stress

- 424
- 425
- 426

427

- 428 429
- 430
- 431
- 432





436 Fig. 12. Effect of rotational parameter on a) primary shear stress b) secondary shear 437 stress

Figs. 13a and 13b represent the primary shear stress (-f'') and secondary shear stress 438 $(-g'_0)$ which are plotted against heat source parameter (Q) for different values of porosity 439 440 parameter. It is observed that the primary shear stress is decreases and secondary shear stress is increases with the increase of porosity parameter, where other parameters have the 441 442 value $M = Gr = Gm = R' = Pr = Ec = Q = Tc = R = Sc = \lambda = 0.1, \beta = 60^{\circ}, n = 1$.







448

447 Fig. 13. Effect of porosity parameter on a) primary b) secondary shear stress

Fig. 14a represents the primary shear stress (-f'') which is plotted against heat source 449 parameter (Q) for different values of Grashof number. It is observed that the primary shear 450

451 stress is increases with the increase of Grashof number, where other parameters have the 452 value $M = \gamma = Gm = R' = Pr = Ec = Q = Tc = R = Sc = \lambda = 0.1, \beta = 60^{\circ}, n = 1$.

Fig. 14b represents the primary shear stress (-f'') which is plotted against heat source parameter (Q) for different values of modified Grashof number. It is observed that the primary shear stress is increases with the increase of modified Grashof number, where other parameters have the value $M = \gamma = Gr = R' = Pr = Ec = Q = Tc = R = Sc = \lambda = 0.1$, $\beta = 60^{\circ}$, n = 1.

458





460 461



Fig. 15a represents the primary shear stress (-f'') which is plotted against heat source parameter (Q) for different values of inclination angle. It is observed that the primary shear stress is decreases with the increase of inclination angle, where other parameters have the value $M = \gamma = Gm = Gr = R' = Pr = Ec = Q = Tc = R = Sc = \lambda = 0.1, n = 1.$

Fig. 15b represents the dimensionless heat transfer rate $(-\theta')$ which is plotted against Heat source parameter (Q) for different values of thermal conductivity parameter. It is observed that the heat transfer rate is increases with the increase of thermal conductivity parameter, where other parameters have the value $M = \gamma = Gm = Gr = R' = Pr = Ec = Q = R = Sc = 0.1$,

472
$$\lambda = 0.1, \beta = 60^{\circ}, n = 1.$$

473 Fig. 16a represents the dimensionless heat transfer rate $(-\theta')$ which is plotted against heat 474 source parameter (Q) for different values of Prandtl number. It is observes that the heat 475 transfer rate is decreased with the increase of Prandtl number, where other parameters have 476 the value $M = \gamma = Gm = Gr = R' = Tc = Ec = Q = R = Sc = \lambda = 0.1, \beta = 60^{\circ}, n = 1.$

- Fig. 16b represents the dimensionless heat transfer rate $(-\theta')$ which is plotted against Heat
- 478 source parameter (Q) for different values of heat source parameter. It is observed that the

heat transfer rate is increases with the increase of heat source parameter, where other parameters have the value $M = \gamma = Gm = Gr = R' = Tc = Ec = Pr = R = Sc = \lambda = 0.1$, $\beta = 60^{\circ}$, n = 1.



484 485 486

487

488 489

Fig. 15. Effect of a) inclination angle on primary shear stress b) thermal conductivity parameter on heat transfer rate





493

492 Fig. 16. Effect of a) Prandtl number b) heat source parameter on heat transfer rate

494 Fig. 17a represents the dimensionless heat transfer rate $(-\theta')$ which is plotted against heat 495 source parameter (Q) for different values of Eckert number. It is observed that the heat 496 transfer rate is increases with the increase of Eckert number, where other parameters have 497 the value $M = \gamma = Gm = Gr = R' = Tc = Q = Pr = R = Sc = \lambda = 0.1, \beta = 60^{\circ}, n = 1.$ Fig. 17b represents the dimensionless heat transfer rate $(-\theta')$ which is plotted against heat source parameter (Q) for different values of radiation parameter. It is observed that the heat transfer rate is increases with the increase of radiation parameter, where other parameters have the value $M = \gamma = Gm = Gr = R' = Tc = Q = Pr = Ec = Sc = \lambda = 0.1$, $\beta = 60^{\circ}$, n = 1.

Fig. 18a represents the dimensionless mass transfer rate $(-\varphi')$ which is plotted against heat source parameter (Q) for different values of Schmidt number. It is observed that the mass transfer rate is decreases with the increase of Schmidt number, where other parameters have the value $M = \gamma = Gm = Gr = R' = Tc = Q = Pr = Ec = R = \lambda = 0.1$, $\beta = 60^{\circ}$,

507 n = 1.

Fig. 18b represents the dimensionless mass transfer rate $(-\varphi')$ which is plotted against heat source parameter (Q) for different values of reaction parameter. It is observed that the mass transfer rate is decreases with the increase of reaction parameter, where other parameters have the value $M = \gamma = Gm = Gr = R' = Tc = Q = Pr = Ec = R = Sc = 0.1, \beta = 60^{\circ},$ n = 1.

Fig. 19 represents the dimensionless mass transfer rate $(-\varphi')$ which is plotted against heat source parameter (Q) for different values of order of chemical reaction. It is observed that the mass transfer rate is increases with the increase of order of chemical reaction, where other parameters have the value $M = \gamma = Gm = Gr = R' = Tc = Q = Pr = Ec = \lambda = R = Sc = 0.1$, $\beta = 60^{\circ}$.









Fig. 17. Effect of a) Eckert number b) radiation parameter on heat transfer rate

523 524 525

526 527 528





Fig. 18. Effect of a) Schmidt number b) reaction parameter on mass transfer rate



4. CONCLUSION

Laminar boundary layer flow past an inclined permeable plate of a rotating system with the influence of magnetic field, thermal radiation and chemical reaction has been investigated. The results are presented for various parameters. The velocity, temperature and concentration distributions for different parameters are shown graphically. The important findings of the investigation from graphical representation are listed below:

Fig. 19. Effect of order of chemical reaction on mass transfer rate

The primary velocity profiles are decreases due to increase of magnetic parameter where as the reverse effect is found for the secondary velocity profiles. Also the primary shear stress is decreases due to increase of magnetic parameter where as the reverse effect is found for secondary shear stress.

The primary velocity profiles and primary shear stress are decreases due to increase of rotational parameter where as the reverse effect is found for the secondary velocity profiles and secondary shear stress. Also the temperature and concentration boundary layer thickness are increases due to increase of rotational parameter.

555 The primary velocity profiles and primary shear stress are decreases due to increase of 556 permeability of the porous medium where as the reverse effect is found for the secondary 557 velocity profiles and secondary shear stress. Also the temperature and concentration 558 boundary layer thickness are increases due to increase of permeability of the porous 559 medium.

560 The primary velocity profiles and primary shear stress are decreases due to increase of 561 inclination angle where as the reverse effect is found for the secondary velocity profiles.

562 The primary velocity profiles and primary shear stress are increases due to increase of 563 Grashof number where as the reverse effect is found for the secondary velocity profiles. Also 564 the temperature boundary layer thickness is decreases due to increase of Grashof number.

565 The primary velocity profiles and primary shear stress are increases due to increase of 566 modified Grashof number where as the reverse effect is found for the secondary velocity 567 profiles. Also the concentration boundary layer thickness is decreases due to increase of 568 modified Grashof number.

569 The primary velocity profiles are increases due to increase of Prandtl number. The thermal 570 boundary layer thickness as well as the heat transfer rate at the plate is decreases as the 571 Prandtl number increases.

572 The heat transfer rate at the plate as well as the primary velocity is increases due to 573 increase of Eckert number.

574 The temperature boundary layer thickness as well as the heat transfer rate at the plate is 575 increases due to increase of thermal conductivity parameter.

576 The heat transfer rate at the plate is increases due to increase of heat source parameter.

577 The heat transfer rate at the plate is increases due to increase of radiation parameter.

578 The concentration boundary layer thickness as well as the mass transfer rate at the plate is 579 decreases due to increase of Schmidt number.

580 The concentration boundary layer thickness as well as the mass transfer rate at the plate is 581 decreases due to no reaction and destructive reaction.

582 The mass transfer rate at the plate is increases due to increase of order of chemical 583 reaction.

584

585 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

586 587

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

588 589

590 **REFERENCES**

- 591
- Bluman GW, Kumei S. Symmetries and Differential Equations. Springer-verlag: New York; 1989.
- Helmy KA. MHD boundary layer equations for power law fluids with variable electric conductivity. Mechanica. 1995;30:187-200.

596 3. Pakdemirli M, Yurusoy M. Similarity transformations for partial differential equations.
 597 SIAM Review. 1998;40:96-101.

- Kalpakides VK, Balassas KB. Symmetry groups and similarity solutions for a free convective boundary-layer problem. International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics. 2004;39:1659-1670.
- Makinde OD. Free convection flow with thermal radiation and mass transfer past moving
 vertical porous plate. International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer. 2005
 ;32:1411-1419.
- 604
 6. Seddeek MA, Salem AM. Laminar mixed convection adjacent to vertical continuously stretching sheet with variable viscosity and variable thermal diffusivity. Heat and Mass Transfer. 2005;41:1048-1055.
- 607
 7. Ibrahim FS, Elaiw AM, Bakr AA. Effect of the chemical reaction and radiation absorption
 608
 609 on the unsteady MHD free convection flow past a semi infinite vertical permeable
 609 moving plate with heat source and suction. Communications in Nonlinear Science and
 610 Numerical Simulation. 2008; 13:1056-1066.
- 8. El-Kabeir SMM, El-Hakiem MA, Rashad. Lie group analysis of unsteady MHD three dimensional dimensional by natural convection from an inclined stretching surface saturated porous medium. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics. 2008;213:582-603.
- 8. Rajeswari R, Jothiram J, Nelson VK. Chemical Reaction, Heat and Mass Transfer on Nonlinear MHD Boundary Layer Flow through a Vertical Porous Surface in the Presence of Suction. Applied Mathematical Sciences. 2009;3:2469-2480.
- 618
 10. Chandrakala P. Chemical Reaction Effects on MHD Flow Past An Impussively Started
 619 Semi-Infinite Vertical Plate. International Journal of Dynamics of Fluids. 2010;6:77-79.
- finite for the strength of the strengh of the strength of the strength of the strength of the str
- Muhaimin, Kandasamy R, Hashim I. Effect of chemical reaction, heat and mass transfer
 on nonlinear boundary layer past a porous shrinking sheet in the presence of suction.
 Nuclear Engineering and Design. 2010;240(5):933-939.
- Rahman MM, Salahuddin KM. Study of hydromagnetic heat and mass transfer flow over
 an inclined heated surface with variable viscosity and electric conductivity.
 Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation. 2010;15:2073-2085.